## **CHAPTER 12**

## **MONITORING**

#### I. INTRODUCTION.

To fulfill its program management responsibilities, the Department will monitor your grant activities during the term of your contract to ensure you have expended CDBG funds only for the specified purposes, have met federal and state overlay and reporting requirements and have performed the terms of your CDBG contract. Except for planning and technical assistance grants, this monitoring usually involves an on-site review of your grant records and a visit to the activity site(s), as well as routine review of your reports.

### II. GRANTEE RESPONSIBILITIES.

You are responsible for carrying out all the terms of your contract, and for maintaining records of your CDBG program activities and making them available to the Department for monitoring purposes. (See Chapter 7, Accounting and Recordkeeping, for guidance on organizing your records.) You are also responsible for resolving any monitoring findings (i.e., findings of non-performance or non-compliance with applicable requirements) in a timely manner.

To assist the grantee in preparing for the monitoring visit, this chapter will discuss what the Department staff will be monitoring to measure compliance with various requirements.

#### III. <u>COMMON PROBLEMS</u>.

- Disorganized or missing records
- Failure to respond to monitoring findings in a timely manner

#### IV. DEPARTMENT'S ROLE.

#### A. General Information:

The Department is responsible for carrying out the State CDBG program for small cities and counties on behalf of HUD. The Department must ensure that the CDBG funds are spent in a timely manner for the purposes for which they were made available, that CDBG-funded activities are carried out in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, and to guard against fraud, waste or mismanagement of funds. The Department must also determine, based on its monitoring reviews, whether grantees have the capacity to carry out future CDBG-funded activities.

To this end, the CDBG staff review your required reports (see Chapter 10, Reporting), and usually visit your offices and the project site(s) to review the activities accomplished and all of your program records. For the field reviews, staff use a series of checklists to review your compliance with contract and other program requirements (see Section VI, Supporting Materials, of this chapter).

After a site review (or in-house review of a planning and technical assistance grant), staff will send you a letter documenting the results of the monitoring and identifying any findings of non-performance or non-compliance with program requirements, and include required and suggested actions to resolve the findings. Staff also provides technical assistance to you and will work with you to resolve any monitoring findings.

### B. Overview of the monitoring process

There are five basic steps to any monitoring visit:

- 1. Notification letter: CDBG begins the monitoring process by calling grantees to explain the purpose of the visit and to agree upon dates for the visit. A formal notification letter will follow prior to the planned visit and will include:
  - confirmation of the dates for the review
  - scope of the monitoring
  - information needed for review during the visit
  - staff persons needed for interviews or assistance during the review
- 2. Entrance conference: Entrance conference is held at the beginning of monitoring visit, usually with the executive director or other top official of the organization, to make sure the grantee has a clear understanding of the purpose, scope and schedule for the monitoring.
- 3. Documentation and data gathering and analysis: CDBG staff will keep a record of information reviewed and conversations held with the grantee during the monitoring visit. The information reviewed and obtained will serve as the basis for conclusions drawn from the visit.
- 4. Exit conference: At the end of the monitoring visit, CDBG staff will meet again with key representatives of the grantee to:
  - present preliminary results of the monitoring
  - provide an opportunity for the grantee to correct any misconceptions or misunderstandings
  - secure additional information, if needed
  - if applicable, provide an opportunity for the grantee to report on steps the organization may already be taking to address areas of noncompliance or nonperformance.

- 5. Follow-up letter: At the end of the process, CDBG will provide the grantee with formal written notification of the results of the monitoring review. This letter will point out problem areas, and recognize successes. In addition, the monitoring letter creates a permanent written record of what was found during the review. The letter will outline concerns and findings and provide a deadline for written response and corrective actions.
- 6. Response to monitoring findings: If the follow-up letter identified any concerns or monitoring findings, the grantee must provide the CDBG Program with a written response within 30 days of the date of the letter. (If additional time is needed, a request for an extension must be submitted to the CDBG Program.)

## V. <u>REFERENCES</u>.

• <u>24 CFR 570.492</u> requires the State to monitor grantees' program activities to ensure program compliance.

### VI. <u>SUPPORTING MATERIALS</u>.

1.	Monitoring Checklist (Summary)	12-4
Moı	nitoring Checklists:	
1.	General Compliance	12-7
2.	Housing Acquisition Program	12-22
3.	Real Property Acquisition Procedures	12-26
4.	Economic Development	12-31
5.	Environmental Review	12-48
6.	Financial Management	12-56
7.	Housing Rehabilitation	
8.	Labor Standards	
9.	Planning and Technical Assistance Products	12-80
10.	Procurement	12-83
11.	Program Income	12-91
12.	Housing Relocation	12-97
13.	Business Relocation	12-108
14.	Lead-Based Paint	12-114

# Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

# **GRANTEE MONITORING**

Grantee:				Contract #:		
CDBG Re	ep:			Date(s):		
		Summa	ry of Chec	<u>klists</u>	<u>Used</u>	
used (e.g.,	, fin				n the date(s) indicated above. Those not ed at a different time or are not applicable	
	1.	General Compliance		8.	Labor Standards	
		(includes EO)		9.	Planning & Technical Assist. Products	
	2.	Housing Acquisition		10.	Procurement	
		Programs		11.	Program Income	
	3.	Real Property Acquisition		12.	Housing Relocation	
		Procedures		13.	Business Relocation	
	4.	Economic Development		14.	Lead-Based Paint	
	5.	Environmental Review				
	6.	Financial Management				
	7.	Housing Rehab., inc. Lump	p Sum			
		Drawdown (LSDD)				
		Summa	ary of Exit	Inter	<u>view</u>	
Persons in	atte	endance:				
<u>Name</u>		<u>Title</u>	2		<u>Organization</u>	
_						

Items discussed:						

#### Preparation for Monitoring

Prior to monitoring, CDBG staff should review the project files to determine which checklists are needed (depending on the activities) and what additional questions should be asked to follow up on activities, issues or problems specific to the grant. Make or obtain copies of the applicable checklists and answer any questions you can from your desk review of the files. (Questions that can usually be answered by in-house file review are indicated by an \*).

#### Using the Checklists

Routine questions to answer based on your review of files and/or asking the grantee are in this typeface.

Contract references are in italics.

Items which you can usually answer or complete during your in-house desk review prior to field visit are indicated by an \*.

[Comments or directions to CDBG staff are in brackets.]

## Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

#### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

## 1. General Compliance Checklist

tee:			Contract #:		
G Rep:			Date(s):		
	Checklist Table	of Con	<u>tents</u>		
A. B.	Work Performance Leverage Meeting National Objectives	G. H. I	Recordkeeping Reporting Contractors		
D. E. F.	Citizen Participation Equal Opportunity Drug-Free Workplace	J. K. L.		rest	
erisked i	tems can be completed prior to the m	onitor	ing):		
<u>Work</u>	Performance (Contract Sec. 8 & 9 a	and At	t. C)		
		\$ \$		\$\$ \$\$	nded to Date
	TOTALS:	\$ <u></u>		\$	
N *2.	Has the grantee met the grant expen	nditure	milestones?		
		-			Start ,
(see Spec	cial Conditions File)	cleara	ance date (from lette	<u>er)</u>	Date I
	A. B. C. D. E. F.  Work  Names  N *2.	A. Work Performance B. Leverage C. Meeting National Objectives D. Citizen Participation E. Equal Opportunity F. Drug-Free Workplace  Perisked items can be completed prior to the many work Performance (Contract Sec. 8 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 &	Checklist Table of Con  A. Work Performance G. B. Leverage H. C. Meeting National Objectives I. D. Citizen Participation J. E. Equal Opportunity K. F. Drug-Free Workplace L.  Perisked items can be completed prior to the monitors  Work Performance (Contract Sec. 8 & 9 and Attantantantantantantantantantantantantan	Checklist Table of Contents  A. Work Performance G. Recordkeeping B. Leverage H. Reporting C. Meeting National Objectives I. Contractors D. Citizen Participation J. Conflict of Inte E. Equal Opportunity K. Insurance F. Drug-Free Workplace L. Signs  Perisked items can be completed prior to the monitoring):  Work Performance (Contract Sec. 8 & 9 and Att. C)  Names of Activities (including Gen Adm): Budgeted Amount  \$ \$  TOTALS: \$  N *2. Has the grantee met the grant expenditure milestones?	Checklist Table of Contents  A. Work Performance G. Recordkeeping B. Leverage H. Reporting C. Meeting National Objectives I. Contractors D. Citizen Participation J. Conflict of Interest E. Equal Opportunity K. Insurance F. Drug-Free Workplace L. Signs  Perisked items can be completed prior to the monitoring):  Work Performance (Contract Sec. 8 & 9 and Att. C)  Names of Activities (including Gen Adm): Budgeted Amount Experiments Sec. 8 & 9 and Sec. 8 &

environmental clearance.)

<sup>1</sup> Obtained during monitoring (e.g., cost incurred; groundbreaking; <u>loan approval is not considered expended!</u>. Loans may not be approved and the procurement process must not begin until <u>after</u>

<sup>\*</sup>Y N 4. Was program activity started prior to clearance of special conditions? Explain

*Y	N	5.	Has the Department received any written comments regarding the grantee's program? If yes, explain issues:		
			de and Program Income (PI) Activities Amended into Open Grants: mendments File)		
*Y	N	6.	Does the grant award include a 10% set-aside or a PI activity that was amended into the open grant? If yes, describe the activity(ies):		
*Y	N	7.	Is the grantee completing the 10% set aside or PI activity(ies) as described in the original/amended application? If not, explain:		
*		8.	Which national objective is being met by each activity and how is it to be met?		
			If not met, explain:		
Stat	te Ob	jectiv	ve Points: (for General grants only):		
*Y	N	9.	During the Rating and Ranking of this application was the jurisdiction awarded any State Objective Points?		
		9a.	If yes, for what objectives:		
			Infrastructure Worst Case Housing Needs		
			Welfare to Work/TANF Farmworker Housing/Health Services		
Y	N	9b.	Is the jurisdiction carrying out the activities for which State Objective Points were awarded? If not, explain:		

*B.	Leverage	e: (Contract Sec. 12 and Att. C) (L	oan Tracking File/Repor	rting File)		
*Y	N 1.	application and contract? [Chec	ons of leverage or match as described in the ck last FAR or any more current grantee  Report Date:			
	Leverage	/Match Source	\$ Committed	\$ Expended		
		TOTALS:	\$	\$		
	Explain s	hortfalls and/or differences in sour	rces from the contract:			
*Y	N 2.	Has the grantee met the expendit where applicable? (Contract At		leverage commitment,		
*C.		National Objectives (Contract Se Objectives and Section 570.482(f)		570.483		
	*1.	What objective has grantee commactivities distinguish area	,	-		
		TIG Slums	/blight	Urgency		
	*2.	If the grantee has committed to n explain how:	neet the Slums/blight or	Urgency objective,		

### To be completed after review of project files:

If meeting the TIG objective, answer the following questions. If not, skip to *Citizen Participation* section.

- 3. Briefly describe method of verifying beneficiaries' income eligibility, and identify any problems: [cross-reference with appropriate income limits by household, summarize third-party income documentation sources, etc. For public services and community facilities, is there documentation of limited clientele or surveys of beneficiaries, where surveys are allowed.]
- Y N 4. If income restricted, were all households or persons served income eligible?

If no, what was TIG percentage relative to percentage stated in the application?

Activity Name

Proposed TIG/Actual TIG

Proposed LTIG/Actual LTIG

- Y N 5. In the case of sewer/water assessments were the LTIG served first? Explain:
- Y N 6. Were TIG beneficiaries charged any inappropriate fees? If <u>yes</u>, explain:
- Y N 7. Is the target area(s) where actual beneficiaries are being served consistent with the target area(s) boundaries stated in the application? (i.e. home address of individual beneficiary and/or address of assisted business, verification that assisted project(s) is within census tract or block group)

If no, explain:

**D**. <u>Citizen Participation</u> (Contract Att. B.3. State CDBG Regulations, Article 3, Section 7080; Federal CDBG Regulations, Section 570.431 and 570.486) (Public Information Binder)

# **Public Information File:**

- Y N 1. Is there a CDBG public information file available for public inspection during normal business hours? If no, explain:
- 2 Does the public information file include a copy of:

Y	N							
		State and Federal CDBG Regulations						
		NOFA(s) to which grantee applied						
		CDBG Grant Application(s) as approved						
		Grant agreement as approved and amended						
		State Economic Development Advisory Committee Report (ED grants)						
		Program Income Reuse Plan						
	Program guidelines (if applicable)							
	Annual Grantee Performance Reports							
	Quarterly Financial Activity Reports (FARs)							
	Program Income Reports							
	Monitoring and auditing letters and responses							
	Notices of public hearings							
		List of attendees at public hearings						
		Minutes of public hearings						
		Written comments and responses regarding program activities						
		Environmental Review Record						
		Citizen Participation Plan (if posting or publication requirement for public hearings is different than State-recommended 10-day noticing.)						

If no, explain deficiencies:

### **Inviting and Responding to Written Comments:**

Y N 3. Are there written comments in the grantee file? Explain:

Y N 4. If yes to #3, has each written comment been responded to in writing by the grantee within a reasonable timeframe (typically 15 working days)?

If no, explain:

Y N 5. Were all written comments that were received and responded to prior to application submittal included in the application? Explain:

### **Other Grantee Actions:**

6. What other actions are being taken by the grantee to ensure citizen participation (i.e., workshops, advisory committees)? *Interview question* 

### **Public Hearings:**

Y N 7. a. Was at least one public hearing held during **program design** before preparation of the application? If <u>yes</u>, give dates and answer items b and c;

If no, explain below:

Date of notice:\_\_\_\_\_\_ Timing within local policy? Y N

Date of hearing:\_\_\_\_\_ Within the fiscal year? Y N

7. b. Did the public hearing notice contain all of the following? Discuss deficiencies below:

Y	N	
		Amount of funds available
		All eligible activities
		Hearing time and place
		Availability of public information file
		Invitation and where to submit written comments

7. c. Did the file regarding these public hearings include the following? Discuss deficiencies below:

Y	N	
		Public Notice/Affidavit of Publication
		List of attendees
		Minutes (describing CDBG activity)

Y N 8. a. Was at least one public hearing held by the governing body to **approve** submittal of the application to the Department? If <u>yes</u>, give dates and answer items b and c; If <u>no</u>, explain below:

Date of notice:	Timing within local policy?	Y	N
Date of hearing:	Within the fiscal year?	Y	N

8. b. Did the public hearing notice contain all of the following? Discuss deficiencies below:

Y	N	
		Amount of funds applying for
		All activities in the application
		Any relocation plan (if relocation is anticipated)
		Hearing time and place
		Availability of public information file
		Invitation and where to submit written comments

8. c. Did the file regarding these public hearings include the following? Discuss deficiencies below:

Y	N	
		Public Notice/Affidavit of Publication
		List of attendees
		Minutes (describing CDBG activity)

9. a.	How much time elapsed between the program design hearing and the application
	approval hearing?

Y N 9. b. Was this enough time to allow meaningful citizen input (at least 30 days)? If no, explain:

- Y N 10. Do the minutes of the hearings indicate that the attendees were informed of all of the items in the notice? (If not in minutes, ask staff)
- Y N 11. If a significant number (25% per language) of residents do not speak English, were notices published in the appropriate language(s) **and** were interpreters provided or made available upon request at the hearings? (i.e., interpreters contact list)
- Y N 12. Does the grantee have the <u>list of attendees</u> for each public hearing? If <u>no</u>, explain: If no one signed in or no one attended, grantee should have on file a sign in sheet with a note explaining the attendance (e.g., "no one attended" or "although no sign-ins, two people commented on the CDBG program".)

## **Other Hearings:**

Y N 13. a. Was a public hearing held <u>prior to submittal of each program guideline</u>
amendment OR program income amendment which changes policies, guidelines, standards, or criteria for program implementation or which reallocates more than 10% of total contract amount? If yes, give dates; if no, explain below:

Date of notice:	Timing within local policy?	Y	N
Date of hearing:			

13. b. Did the public hearing notice contain all of the following? Discuss deficiencies below:

Y	N	
		A clear description of the proposed amendments to the grant
		Grant number
		Hearing time and place
		Availability of public information file
		Invitation and where to submit written comments

13. c. Did the file regarding these public hearings include the following? Discuss deficiencies below:

Y	N	
		Public Notice/Affidavit of Publication
		List of attendees
		Minutes (describing CDBG activity)

Y N 14. a. Was a public hearing held <u>prior to submittal of the Annual GPR(s)?</u> If <u>yes</u>, give dates; if <u>no</u>, explain below:

1.	Date of notice:	Timing within local policy?	Y	N
	Date of hearing:			
2.	Date of notice:  Date of hearing:	Timing within local policy?	Y	N
3.	Date of notice:	Timing within local policy?	Y	N
	Date of hearing:			

14. b. Did the file regarding these additional public hearings include the following? Discuss deficiencies below:

1	2	3	
			Public Notice/Affidavit of Publication
			List of attendees
			Minutes (describing CDBG activity)

E. <u>Equal Opportunity Compliance</u> (Contract Att. B) (Policy/National Objective/Reporting Files)

Y N 1. Does the grantee have source documentation (e.g., census data, surveys) on file regarding the program's target area/community residents by race or ethnicity, gender, existence of handicap, and age? If <u>no</u>, ask grantee to produce at monitoring.

Y N 2. Does the grantee have information on file comparing the applicants and recipients of direct assistance (e.g., rehabilitation loans, job applicants) to the 2000 census demographic data by:

Comparison	List Each Race and Ethnicity Per GPR	Single	Disabled	Over 62
	American Indian, Asian, White, African American, etc.	Parents		
1. Jurisdiction	%	%	%	%
2. Applicants	%	%	%	%
3. Recipients	%	%	%	%

- Y N 3. Are there apparent deficiencies in participation by protected groups? If <u>yes</u>, give grantee's explanation:
- Y N 4. Does the Grantee pay staff with CDBG funds, and if so, ensure the Grantee has information on file (for grantees with ten or more employees) comparing 2000 census data race/ethnicity, single parent, disability, and senior for the jurisdiction verses grantee's workforce? If there are apparent deficiencies in participation by protected groups, give the grantee's explanation:

Comparison	List Each Race and Ethnicity Per GPR	Single	Disabled	Over 62
	American Indian, Asian, White, African American, etc.	<u>Parents</u>		
1. Jurisdiction	%	%	%	%
2. Employees	%	%	%	%
	%	%	%	%

- 5. What actions (e.g., outreach; processing; written policies prohibiting discrimination, segregation, or separate treatment) does the grantee take to ensure that CDBG activities are carried out in a manner that does not discriminate against persons on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability or religion? (Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and Section 109 of Title I of the HCD Act of 1974 as amended) Interview question
- Y N 6. Have there been any discrimination complaints? If <u>yes</u>, what was the basis and how has the grantee resolved them? *Interview question*

- Y N \*7. Did the grantee complete a Section 504 Self-Evaluation? (Section 504, 24 CFR Part 8)
- Y N 8. Did the Self -Evaluation (Subpart D, Section 8.51) include: (Check all that apply.)

Y	N	
		Communications? (TDD, etc.; Subpart A, Section 8.6)
		Employment? (Subpart B, Section 8.12
		Program Accessibility? (Subpart C)

If grantee (jurisdiction) has 15 or more employees,

Y	N	
		Designated Responsible Employee? (Subpart D, Section 8.53
		Notices of Non-Discrimination? (Subpart D, Section 8.54

Y N 9. If the self-evaluation of Section 504 compliance revealed deficiencies, did the jurisdiction take adequate steps to modify deficient policies and practices or explain why infeasible? Explain: (Section 504, 24 CFR Part 8 Subpart D Section 8.51(a)(2))

## **Employment** (Policy File)

- Y N 10. Does the grantee have written employment and personnel policies which cover equal opportunity provisions? If <u>no</u>, explain:
- Y N 11. Is the grantee required to undertake an affirmative action program because of past compliance problems or court action? If <u>yes</u>, explain what actions have been taken: *Interview question*
- Y N 12. Are there currently any lawsuits or administrative complaints pending due to alleged problems in equal employment opportunity practices? If yes, explain: *Interview question*

- **SECTION 3** applies to: 1) the <u>grantee</u> if the grant award exceeds \$200,000 and grant funds are used for either housing rehabilitation, housing construction or public construction.
  - 2) <u>contractors and subcontractors</u> working on these activities where their contract amount exceeds \$100,000. (24 CFR Ch. 1 Part 135, Subpart A, 135.3 570.487(d))
- Y N 13. Does Section 3 apply to the grantee? *OR* Does Section 3 apply to any of the grantee's contractors or subcontractors? (*If yes, answer questions 13a-13d*):
- Y N 13. a. Has the grantee submitted an annual Section 3 report (required beginning 95/96)? If <u>no</u>, explain: (24 CFR Ch. 1 Part 135, Subpart E, 135.90)
- Y N 13. b. Are the grantee's Section 3 records maintained? Explain: (24 CFR Ch. 1 Part 135, Subpart E, 135.92)
- Y N 13. c. Has the grantee filled any employee vacancies in positions involved in working on CDBG activities covered by Section 3? If yes, explain what efforts the grantee has made to offer training and employment opportunities to Section 3 residents: (24 CFR Ch. 1 Part 135, Subpart B, 135.32)

  Interview question
  - 13. d. How does the grantee ensure compliance with Section 3 in its operations and compliance in the operations of its contractors and subcontractors? (24 CFR Ch 1 Part 135, Subpart B, 135.32) Interview question

<u>Fair Housing</u>: (Federal Register 24 CFR Part 100, Subchapter A, The Fair Housing Act, 570.48((b))

- Y N 14. Has the monitoring revealed any discriminatory housing practices? Explain:
- Y N 15. Has an administrative procedure been established to receive and refer complaints of housing discrimination to the State Department of Fair Employment and Housing or an appropriate local organization? Explain: (24 CFR Part 103 Subpart B. Section 103.25(a)(3)) Interview question

16. What other specific steps is the grantee taking to affirmatively further fair housing? (24 CFR 570.487(b)) Interview question

Dr	<u>Drug-free Workplace Certification</u> (Contract Att. B)					
N	1.	Has the jurisdiction published and distributed a statement notifying employees that it is unlawful to:manufacture,distribute,dispense,possess, oruse a controlled substance, anddetailed specific actions to be taken against employees for violations, as required by Government Code Section 8355 (b)?  If no, explain deficiencies:				
N	2.	Has the jurisdiction established a Drug Free Awareness Program to inform employees about all of the following: the dangers of drug abuse in the workplace; the organization's policy of maintaining a drug free workplace; any available counseling, rehab., and employee assistance programs; penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations.  Explain any deficiencies:				
N	3.	Has the jurisdiction, as required by Government Code Section 8355 (c), insured that every employee who works under this contract:  received a copy of the grantee's drug free policy statement; and will agree to abide by the terms of the grantee's statement as a condition of employment under this contract.  Explain any deficiencies:				
	N	N 1.				

ŀ	Recordkeeping (Contract Sec. 21; 24 CFR 5/0.506)							
financial, contractual, environmental, performance, beneficiary, complaint data, and budget and approved amend Explain any deficiencies noted wh  *Reporting (Contract Att. C)					ents n monitoring:			
		1.	Tias the gra	ntee submitted the folio	wing reports on a timery	vasis:		
	N	N/A						
			Quarterly F	AR				
			Annual GP	R				
			Quarterly P	PI				
			Annual Sec	etion 3 Report				
			Semi-annua	al ED				
			Lump-sum	Drawdown				
			Wage comp	oliance				
Y N 1. Does the Grantee have information on file for public construction projects regarding construction firm officers/employees by:    Race/Ethnicity   Gender   Handicap   Age								
	**************************************	*Repo	*Reporting 1.    N   N/A	N 1. Does granted  finance contratent perfor benefit comple budge  Explain any  *Reporting (Contract Art  1. Has the grant  N N/A  Quarterly F Annual GP Quarterly P Annual Section Semi-annual Lump-sum Wage comple  Contractors  N 1. Does the Grant regarding const	N 1. Does grantee have a recordkeeping s	N 1. Does grantee have a recordkeeping system that includes all of financial, contractual, environmental, performance, beneficiary, complaint data, and budget and approved amendments  Explain any deficiencies noted when monitoring:  *Reporting (Contract Att. C)  1. Has the grantee submitted the following reports on a timely  N N/A  Quarterly FAR Annual GPR Quarterly PI Annual Section 3 Report Semi-annual ED Lump-sum Drawdown Wage compliance  Contractors  N 1. Does the Grantee have information on file for public construction regarding construction firm officers/employees by:		

- **J.** Conflict of Interest (Contract Paragraph 23 & Att. B)
- Y N 1. Do there appear to be any conflicts of interest with grantee and/or its contractors and public officials? (i.e., do any of the program decision-makers have an interest in or benefit from the work performed under the grant) If yes, explain:
- Y N 2. Does it appear that the grantee used any grant monies to pay a "bonus or commission" for purposes of obtaining State's approval of this or other applications for funding, or any other approvals required under this contract or the State regulations? If <u>yes</u>, explain:
- **K.** Insurance (Contract Sec. 17)
- Y N 1. For jurisdiction-owned projects, does grantee have documentation showing current appropriate amounts of insurance coverage (e.g., liability, property)? Explain:
- L. Signs (Contract Sec. 20)
- Y N 1. If grantee posted signs regarding the financing of the project, did it include CDBG as a funding source? If not, explain why not:

# Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

# **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

# 2. Housing Acquisition Program Checklist

Gr	antee:				Contract	t #:				
CD	BG R	Rep:		Date(s):						
1.	Lo	an Ap	plication	<u>s</u> :						
#	Recei	ved:		# Approved:	# In Process:	# Completed:				
Af	firma	tive F	air Hous	ing Marketing of Prog	ram:					
Y	N	2.	potentia	_	ing plan with flyers	ogram was provided to all and mailers (Spanish and ly.)				
Y	N	3.	Were an	ny very low-income fam	ilies assisted, if so he	ow many?				
<u>Pr</u>	ogran	1 Gui	<u>delines</u> :							
Y	N	4.	special of grantee'	-	nin: [Note throughout on is inconsistent wi	ut your monitoring that where th its approved program				
Y	N	5.		e guidelines been amend d the changes? [Obtain	•	state staff reviewed and ave the amended version.]				

# **File Review:**

6. Review a minimum of four randomly selected homebuyer loan files. Use the following matrix form to summarize the basic information, and make additional notes as needed.

#### **NOTE:**

For programs that include housing rehabilitation as part of project development, use the housing rehabilitation and lead based paint checklists to ensure program compliance with construction requirements.

Review each loan/grant file for the following items:

<u>CD</u>	BG Findings are <i>Bolded and Italicized</i>	1		2	3		4		
<u>CD</u>	BG Concerns are Normal Text (unless in violation of grantees								
guid	<u>lelines)</u>								
	List Four Selected Loan Applicants by Name and Address:								
		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
PAl	RTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY								
1.	Completed and signed loan application								
2.	Documentation of Participant Income Eligibility								
3.	Documentation of home buyer eligibility (currently owns no property)								
4.	Documentation of Approval for First Mortgage								
5.	Documentation of Down Payment and Closing Cost Funds								
6.	Certification of home buyer or credit education (optional)								
PRO	OPERTY ELIGIBILITY								
1.	Document Property In Jurisdiction or Target Area								
2.	Document Proper Zoning of Property								
3.	Verification that Unit is Vacant or owner occupied or tenant is purchasing their existing unit (no relocation invoked).								
4.	Documentation of unit inspection for any health and safety repairs with termite report and lead-based paint report								

CDB	G Findings are Bolded and Italicized G Concerns are Normal Text (unless in violation of grantees elines)	1		2		3		4	
	List Four Selected Loan Applicants by Name and Address:		<del>1</del>						
		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
	(pre-1978)								
	a. Before loan approval								
	b. After loan approval when all negative findings are cleared								
LOA	N UNDERWRITING								
1.	Credit report and Rent verification								
2.	Calculation of gap in financing and Subsidy amount								
3.	Sales contract with seller disclosures executed and title report								
4.	Property Appraisal and debt-to-value test for loan to value check against the limits in the guidelines								
5.	Loan committee loan approval signed by all members								
CLO	SE OF ESCROW	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
1.	Original Escrow Instructions								
2.	Alta title insurance policy								
3.	Certificate of insurance (with floodplain insurance if in floodplain) showing grantee listed as loss payee								
4.	Deed of Trust (recorded)								
5.	Promissory Note								
6.	Truth in lending disclosure statement								
7	Request for Notice of Default (recorded)								
8.	Loan Agreement								
9.	Fair Lending Notice								
10.	Certified Escrow Closing Cost Statement								

CDR	G Findings are <i>Bolded and Italicized</i>		1	2	)		3	1	
CDB	GG Concerns are Normal Text (unless in violation of grantees elines)		1				3	4	
CON	List Four Selected Loan Applicants by Name and Address:  ISTRUCTION AS PART OF TRANSACTION	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
	For programs that include CDBG funds for any housing rehabilitation as part of the purchase the following additional monitoring checklists must be completed and attached.								
1.	Complete and attach lead-based paint monitoring checklist.								
2.	Complete and attach rehabilitation monitoring checklist and check all construction items (contact language, debarred)								

# **Participant Income Eligibility:**

Y N 7. Are the income requirements consistent with CDBG TIG Income Limits and the income documentation method is consistent with federal regulations and adopted guidelines? If not, describe problems:

### **Use of Funds:**

Y N 8. Are the loans/grants underwritten and used for the proper subsidies as per the current program guidelines? If not, describe problems:

## Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

# 3. Real Property Acquisition Procedures Checklist

Gr	antee:	•	Contract #:
CE	DBG I	Rep:	Date(s):
bei	ing us mplet	e for led. If	be what acquisition activities are being paid for with CDBG funds? If the funds are nome ownership programs/projects, then the short monitoring checklist (Section I) is the acquisition activities may require the use of eminent domain then the longer ection II must be completed.
	ction CQUI		ON PROGRAM/PROJECT WHERE NO EMINENT DOMAIN INVOKED
Y	N	1.	Is this an acquisition program/project where a private developer/non-profit or the grantee is using CDBG funds to purchase real property through a voluntary sale?
Y	N	2.	For each project's sales contract, did the Seller receive a Notice that includes:  A statement that the buyer's have no power of eminent domain or will not use such power and will not acquire the property if the negotiations fail to result in an amicable agreement.  An estimate of fair market value of the property.
Sec	<u>ction</u>	<u>II:</u>	
A(	CQUI	SITIC	ON PROGRAM/PROJECT WHERE EMINENT DOMAIN IS INVOKED

Is there a file established for each property to be acquired? If not, explain:

Y N

1.

Y	N	1. a.	As part of the project's development, was there displacement of occupants or reduction in number or size of units on site. If yes, see below:
			If relocation of occupants, complete Relocation Checklist and review relocation plan to confirm proper procedures and benefit determination was followed.
			If demolition or reduction of units or size of units, complete Relocation Checklist.
Y	N	2.	Was a "Notice of Decision to Appraise" issued to the property owner(s)? If not, explain:
Y	N	3.	Did the "Notice of Decision to Appraise" include:
			A description for a specified public use and a statement that the property is within the boundaries  A statement that the owner's property may be acquired  A general description of the property  Describe any deficiencies:
Y	N	4.	Was a "Notice of Land Acquisition Procedures" issued to the property owner(s)?
-	<u>.</u> ,	••	If not, explain:

Y	N	5.	Did the "Notice of Land Acquisition Procedures" include:
			A description of the public objective of the acquisition and explanation of the relocation benefits available
			An offer to buy any unmarketable remnant should one exist after the
			acquisition  A statement that should the owner not be satisfied with the offer, they will be given an opportunity to present additional information for use in determining the compensation, and if voluntary agreement on terms can't be reached, the grantee will start condemnation or abandon the
			acquisition  A statement that if the grantee allows the owner to remain as a tenant on a short term basis, the rent will be within the financial means of the occupant and in no event higher than fair market?
			A statement that no person will be required to move without receiving a 90-day written notice
			Describe any deficiencies:
Y	N	6.	Was an appraisal done on the property? If no, why not:
Y	N	7.	If yes to # 6, does the appraisal discuss the various methods of and establish a value for the property?
Y	N	8.	Was a contract executed for the appraisal? If not, explain:
Y	N	9.	Was an "Invitation for Property Owner to Accompany an Appraiser" issued? If not, explain:
Y	N	10.	Was a "Review of Appraisal Report" done? If not, explain:

11. Is the appraisal acceptable to establish just compensation? If not, explain: Y N Y N 12. Did the grantee provide the owner with a "Statement of Basis for Determining Just Compensation"? If not, explain: Y N Was a written "offer to purchase" issued to the owner? If not, explain: Y N 14. Was a "contract of sale" entered into, and is a copy in the file? If not, explain: Y N 15. Was a "Statement of Settlement Costs" issued to the owner? If not, explain: Y N If acquisition was terminated, was a "Notice of Intent Not to Acquire" issued to the owner? If not, explain:

Y N 17. Was it issued no more than 10 days after the decision not to acquire? If not, explain:

- Y N 18. Does the grantee have copies of the appropriate recorded deeds on file? If not, explain:
- Y N 19. Does the grantee have in the file documentation that the owner received each required notice?

# Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

# **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

# 4. Economic Development Checklist

Gran	tee:	Contract #:				
CDB	G Rep:	Date(s):				
	GRANTEE PORTFOLIC NATIONAL OBJECTIVE AND (570.483 and 570.482(f)(2)(i)) (National Objective	PUBLIC BENEFIT				
(Aste	risked items to be completed prior to the monitoring	<del>?</del> )				
Busin	ness Loans and Infrastructure in Support of Business	Development:				
*1.	Identify national objective, if other than principally	benefiting members of the TIG.				
2.	If the national objective being met by the activity is conditions, how did the grantee adequately docume remedied by the CDBG activity?	<u> </u>				
3.	If the national objective being met by the activity is adequately document the need being remedied by the					
4.	Describe any changes in job creation or target area grant agreement.	benefit subsequent to execution of the				
5.	Percentage of the jobs verified and filled by targete Describe income screening and verification method	<u> </u>				
		al% TIG al TIG				
	Public Benefit (i.e., jobs created or retained)					
	a. cost/job					
	b. activity: OTC loan, infrastruction (check one)	cture aggregate,EF portfolio aggregate				

# EMPLOYMENT AND PROCUREMENT PLAN: (Special Conditions/Loan Closing Files)

Y	N	*1.		_	<b>Plan Agreement</b> which has been executed by the the income screening agency? (24 CFR 570.506(b)(5))
		Che	eck all	that apply:	
			*2.	Employn	nent Plan Agreement acknowledges the Grantee's bility to monitor and document the employment information,
			*3.	family in	ment Plan Agreement specifies procedures for screening for come of existing employees and verifying the household f new hires,
			*4.		-party Employment Agreement is attached to and referenced ch Loan Agreement,
			5.		cuted Three-party Employment Agreement includes: (24 .506(b) (5), (6), and (7)):
				a.	separate copy signed by the Grantee, the screening and referral agency, and each business.
				b.	commitment by the business that all jobs will be created within 30 months of the date of the grant agreement.
				c.	statement that at least 51% of all jobs created or retained on a full-time equivalent basis, will be held by TIG members.
				d.	listing by job title of the permanent jobs projected to be filled by members of the TIG
				e.	commitment to periodic reporting (at least quarterly) listing permanent job holders, by job title, TIG, ethnicity/race, handicapped status, and gender.
				f.	description of employee income verification process.
IN(	COM	E VE	RIFIC	CATION M	IETHOD: (National Objective/Public Benefit File)
1.					ne verified (i.e., monthly or quarterly reporting by workforce altant, city/county)?
	1. a			esponsible nent agenc	for screening income of job applicants (grantee or workforce y)?

	1. b.	Are H	UD or WIA income guidelines being used? Which?
	1. c.	Where	e are records of employee income verification maintained?
	1. d.	Are re	ecords accessible?
	1. e.	9	% of job holders screened and income verified by grantee or agency.
	1. f.	0	% of screened job holders that are verified TIG.
	1. g.	For be incom	eneficiaries of target area, identify procedure(s) for verifying TIG household e.
	1. h.	Is inco	ome documentation adequate? (51% of job holders verified as TIG income le)
Y	N Z	2. Ha	as an employment training program been used?
		a.	What kind of training was provided?
		b.	Who has benefited from the training? (List # of employees and job description.)
		c.	Did training qualify them for the job or for a promotion?

ENTERPRISE FUND SUMMARY, (To accompany National Objective/Public Benefit Section of Checklist) 1. Applications for loans: # Received # Approved # In Process # Completed 2. Marketing of Enterprise Fund Program: How did the grantee ensure that information about the program was provided to potential beneficiaries? **JOB CREATION AND RETENTION** (for the entire loan portfolio): (24 CFR 570.482(f)) \*Y \*N 1. Do the Economic Development Progress Reports summarize job creation, ethnicity, gender, and handicapped status for all reported job creation/retention? Summarize below: **Portfolio Job Creation:** Y N 2. Have all jobs that will directly result from the CDBG-assistance been created (i.e., is it reasonable to assume that no additional jobs will directly result from the activity) as of the date of the monitoring visit? b. FTE equivalent a. jobs created/retained to date c. \_\_\_\_ months remaining in grant term 3. Describe any extenuating circumstances preventing the grantee from reaching its job creation milestones: N 4. Are the businesses reporting quarterly on job creation/retention of employees? Y N 5. Was there a job follow-up to confirm ongoing 51% benefit hiring ratio?

If yes, what was the date of the last report?

# **Portfolio Job Retention:**

		6.	When was CDBG assistance first provided to the activity? (mo./yr.)
Y	N	7.	Did each loan review confirm-that jobs would have been lost without the CDBG assistance? (This should have been covered in the OTC application or Drawdown Checklists under the EF program)  a. How many jobs were retained?
Y	N	8.	Was a survey of job holders performed prior to the application for funds to provide evidence of TIG benefit for jobs retained? (document as summarized in application)?
Y	N	9.	Were signed self-certifications used for job retention?
Y	N	10.	If the grantee relied on job turnover to help meet the 51% minimum, were subsequent hires income verified for TIG benefit? (If no, the TIG jobs requirement has not been met.)

<u>UN</u>	UNDERWRITING DOCUMENTATION (For Loan to:)							
Y	N	1.	Are original loan documents from the loan files kept in a fireproof safe?					
Y	N	2.	Is a credit file copy of each loan kept with the general files?					
		3.	Review the grantee's loan file, confirm which of the following items are included, and discuss any deficiencies below. (x-in files, o-missing, n/a-not required)					
			Promissory Note(s) Signed Loan Agreement Loan Servicing Agreement Intercreditor Agreement Employment Agreement Deeds of Trust Title Report(s) Appraisal General Security Agreement UCC-1 Filing(s) Personal Guarantee Corporate Guarantee Subordination Agreement General Resolution of the Board and Certificate of Secretary Opinion of Counsel Current Business License Life Insurance Policy and Assignment Hazard Insurance Policy and Assignment Agency reports on repayments Agency reports on leverage injection Disbursement Receipts Loan Review/Credit Analysis Financial Statements Tax Returns Proformas Business Plan/Market Analysis Current Debt Schedule Purchase Contracts for M/E and FF/E using CDBG funds NEPA Environmental Clearance and Notices Local Permits or Approvals Income Verification records for employees (originals)					
De	ficien	cies:						

<u>LC</u>	LOAN FINANCE TERMS ( For loan to:)		
Y	N	1.	Do the terms of the loan agreement between grantee and benefiting private entity match those in the approved grant agreement?  a. loan amount:
2.	Wha	at age	ncy serviced the loan and authorized disbursements to the borrower?
3.	Wha	at is th	ne total amount of loan repayment to date?\$
Y	N	4.	Are the payments being made in accordance with the payment schedule as defined in the application and grant agreement?
Y	N	5.	Does the repayment total match the projected repayment schedule in the application and grant agreement? If no, what is the grantee's explanation of the variance? In your opinion, is the explanation satisfactory or is further inquiry needed?
Y	N	6.	If loan is for furnishings, fixtures or equipment, how are these items being paid for (e.g., upon invoice to the grantee, two party check through loan servicer, etc.)?

- Y N 7. Have all funding commitments from *leveraged* sources occurred? If no, explain:
- Y N 8. Are amounts provided consistent with the amounts committed to in the application and grant agreement? If no, what events or circumstances caused the variance, and what did the grantee do to overcome the problem?
- Y N 9. Did the grantee meet its drawdown milestones for CDBG funds? If no, what prevented the grantee from meeting the milestones?
- Y N 10. Did the review of records validate the information contained in the last GPR for the activity? If no, what discrepancies were identified?

<b>APPROPRI</b>	[ATENESS DETERMINATION (For loan to:)
	separate determination for each Enterprise Fund loan)_
-	changes in financial condition of borrower, subject to execution of the grant agreement Fund Drawdown Checklist approval.
Y N 1.	For EF loan, was a Document Checklist for Drawdown Approval submitted to the Department?
	Dated: Approved:
INDIVIDUA	AL LOAN DOCUMENTATION
	n meet the objectives of the <i>HUD UNDERWRITING GUIDELINES</i> per the OTC loan revaluation approved through an EF Drawdown Checklist. (Check and summarize all that
1.	Evaluating and documenting that project costs are reasonable (i.e., cost estimates confirmed by contracts, purchase orders and receipts),
2.	Documenting that all sources of project financing are committed; through  a. written verification of lender
	b. written verification of other sources of public/private financing, as listed here:
3.	Ensuring that, to the extent practicable, <b>RLF</b> funds have not been substituted for non-Federal financial support; by
	a. justifying the assistance based on financing gap.
	b. evaluating financing alternatives with and without CDBG assistance.

 4.	Ensuring that the project is financially feasible, by
	<ul> <li>a. evaluating projected operating income and expenses to determine reasonableness of projections</li> </ul>
	b. evaluating company's creditworthiness
 5.	Ensuring that to the extent practicable, the return on the owner's equity investment will not be unreasonably high, by:
	a. justifying the assistance based on inadequate rate of return
	b. evaluating industry average rate of return
	c. evaluating the company's historic rate of return
 6.	That to the extent practicable, <b>RLF</b> funds are disbursed on a pro rata basis with other financing provided to the project; and
 7.	Sufficient public benefit will be received from the expenditure of <b>RLF</b> funds.

# <u>INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT SUMMARY</u> (To accompany National Objective/Public Benefit Section of Checklist)

1.	Which national objective is this grant addressing?		
*Y	*N	2.	For an infrastructure grant, is there a fair share allocation plan approved by the Department? If yes, summarize briefly:
*Y	*N	2. a.	Was a Three-Party Employment Agreement (identifying slums/blight or TIG benefit) negotiated and signed with the initial benefiting business or developer and what were the terms?
*Y	*N	2. b.	Was a Fair Share Allocation Agreement negotiated and signed with the initial benefiting business or developer and what were the terms?
Y	N	2. c.	Were Plans and Agreements also negotiated and signed between the initial benefiting developer and his lessees and what were the terms?
Y	N	3.	Has the developer/business paid the fair share amount in full? If no, what is the payment schedule?
4.	What measures has the grantee adopted to ensure TIG benefit from all businesses benefiting from CDBG-assisted infrastructure?		
	a.	Descr	ibe how cost per job was calculated:
	b.	Descr	ibe the income verification method used for TIG jobs created

CDBGGMM 7/05

Y	N 4. Was the cost per job less than \$10,000 for infrastructure activities?
	<u>\$/job</u>
5.	If greater than \$10,000/job, what is the mechanism for ensuring that all future development or businesses hooking into the system will be:
	a. meeting the TIG benefit?
	b. paying a fair share assessment?
6.	List the amount of the equity injection required of the benefiting business as set forth in the grant agreement:
7.	Describe the documentation in Grantee's file that confirms that the required amount and form of equity was actually provided by the business:
	Amount: Source(s):
	Documentation:
8.	Confirmation of Gap: Relative to the proposed sources and uses, what were the actual costs of the project? Describe documentation:

#### **MICROENTERPRISE ASSISTANCE ACTIVITY:**

[Note: The "Public Benefit" (cost/job) requirements associated with Business Assistance activities **does not apply** to Microenterprise Assistance activities per 570.481(c) and 570.483(b)(2)(iv-v)]

Y N 1. Were CDBG funds used to assist owners of microenterprises or persons developing microenterprises? [Note that the Department does not expect that all persons being assisted in developing a Microenterprise will actually start a Microenterprise.]

Under which national objective criteria below did the activity meet the national objective of expanding economic opportunities, principally for low- or moderate-income persons?

Y N a. Limited Clientele?

Under this option, any person receiving assistance must be income eligible. The program must be designed specifically to benefit a clientele who are generally presumed to be principally low- and moderate-income. Limited Clientele is a national objective criteria and, within the criteria, direct business assistance to microenterprises (existing or potential) and supportive activity are separate subcategories. Job creation is not measurement. A Limited Clientele program cannot qualify for assistance any *Microenterprise* that employs TIG *if the owners are not TIG*. A Limited Clientele program must provide assistance only to TIG–qualified *Microenterprises* (*existing and potential*) and their TIG-qualified employees.

Y N b. Job Creation/Retention?

TIG-owned businesses and their employees of 5 or fewer are eligible for assistance. Non-TIG entrepreneurs operating a business with 5 or fewer individuals including themselves, may also be assisted. In either case, at least 51% of the jobs being created or retained (including the income eligible business owner) must be filled by members of the TIG.

Y N 2. Are 100% of persons and/or businesses assisted under M-E activities screened for TIG eligibility? If no, specify percent screened for eligibility.

3. What percentage of beneficiaries are verified for household income? \_\_\_\_\_\_%

Identify method of verification:

Were the funds used for eligible USES as follows:				
Y	N	4.	Did funds provide credit, including grants financial forms of financial support that w microenterprises? Identify forms of assists	rill establish, stabilize or expand
Y	N	5.	Did grantee provide technical assistance, a owners of microenterprises and persons deforms of assistance:	• • •
Y	N	6.	Did grantee provide general support, inclucounseling, childcare, transportation, and microenterprises and persons developing assistance:	other similar services, to owners of
		7.	List performance outcomes of Microenter [Note: Each person or business assisted m principally benefiting members of the TIC	ust meet the national objective of
			Number of clients assisted (first time appl	icants)
			Number other (non-CDBG) loans made to	
			Other performance indicators as identified guidelines (describe):	
		8.	How does performance compare to project	ted performance in application?
			<b>Projected</b>	<u>Actual</u>
			beneficiaries	beneficiaries
			trainees	trainees
			business startups	business startups
			business expansions	business expansions
			job creation	job creation
			job retention	job retention
Dis	cuss d	liscrep	pancies:	

# BENEFICIARY TRACKING PLAN (Attach Plan)

Does the <b>Beneficiary Tracking Plan (BTP)</b> include
---

Y	N	9. a.	an income verification process that identifies how the Grantee will ensure that
			all persons and/or microenterprises assisted meet the TIG benefit requirement?

Describe process (attach intake form):

Y N 9. b. a description of a recordkeeping system that will provide information on ethnicity/race, handicapped status, and gender of persons receiving assistance?

Describe process:

Y N 9. c. a procedure for tracking the numbers of persons receiving assistance by type of assistance provided, number of persons entering and completing an entrepreneur training program, number of persons starting a business and receiving other assistance (e.g., loans) under the program.

Describe process: (attach copy of tracking format)

#### Marketing of Enterprise Fund Program:

10. How did the grantee ensure that information about the program was provided to potential beneficiaries?

# <u>BENEFICIARY AND EMPLOYMENT PLAN AGREEMENT</u>: (Special Conditions/National Objective Files

*Y	*N	1.	Is there a <b>Beneficiary Plan Agreement</b> that has been executed by the jurisdiction, and the income screening agency? (24 CFR 570.506(b)(5))
Che	eck all	that	apply:
		*2.	<b>Beneficiary Plan Agreement</b> acknowledges the Grantee's responsibility to monitor and document the client information
		*3.	<b>Beneficiary Plan Agreement</b> specifies procedures for screening for family income of applicants and verifying the household income of new clients
		*4.	A Three-party Microenterprise Assistance Agreement where TIG jobs will be created by a microentrepreneur who is not income eligible.
Eac (7))		cuted	Three-party Employment Agreement includes: (24 CFR 570.506(b) (5), (6), and
			<ul> <li>a. separate copy signed by the Grantee, the screening and referral agency, and each business.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>b. commitment by the business that all jobs will be created within 30 months of the date of the grant agreement.</li> </ul>
			c. statement that at least 51% of all jobs created or retained on a full-time equivalent basis, will be held by TIG members.
			d. listing by job title of the permanent jobs projected to be filled by members of the TIG.
			e. commitment to periodic reporting (at least quarterly) listing permanent job holders, by job title, TIG, ethnicity/race, handicapped status, and gender.
			f. description of employee income verification process.

# **INCOME VERIFICATION METHOD:** (National Objective/Public Benefit File)

1.	How was TIG family income verified (i.e., monthly or quarterly reporting by workforce development agency, consultant, city/county)?	
	a.	Who is responsible for screening income of job applicants (grantee or workforce development agency)?
	b.	Are HUD or WIA income guidelines being used? Which?
	c.	Where are records of client income verification maintained?
	d.	Are records accessible?
	e.	% of applicants screened and income verified by grantee or agency.
	f.	% of screened applicants that are verified TIG.
	g.	For beneficiaries of target area, identify procedure(s) for verifying TIG household income.
Y	N	2. Has an employment training program been used?
		a. What kind of training was provided?
		b. Who has benefited from the training? (List # of employees and job description.)

c. Did training qualify them for the job or for a promotion?

Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

# **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

#### 5. Environmental Review Checklist

Grantee:	Contract #:	
CDBG Rep:	Date(s):	
Activity(ies):		
(Asterisked iten	ns to be completed prior to the monitoring)	
*Y *N 1.	Did the grantee prepare a Continuation Statement for an ongoing, previously funded project?	
*Y *N 1. a.	Was proper noticing, as required, conducted under the new funding source?	
*Y *N 1.b.	Did the grantee submit an MRER for a previously reviewed target area under a Statutory Worksheet?	
2. For project	ts reviewed under this open grant:	
Check the level of clearance made for <b>each</b> activity (identify which activity, if more than one) and check that the required items were in the grantee's file. Explain any deficiencies. (24 CFR 58)		
a. <u>Ex</u>	xempt from NEPA (Planning/Technical Assistance) Part 58.34	
	Project description:	
	An Environmental Finding Form, marked Exempt	
	A Form 58.6	

b. <u>C</u> a	stegorically excluded from NEPA and not subject to 58.5- Part 58.35(b)
	Project description:
	An <i>Environmental Finding Form</i> , marked <i>Categorically Excluded not subject to 58.5</i> ,
	A Form 58.6
	empt from NEPA (where a Categorically Excluded project is converted to empt) - Part 58.34(a)(12)
	Project description:
	An <b>Environmental Finding Form</b> , indicating the project has converted to exempt status
	A Form 58.6
	A completed <i>Statutory Worksheet</i> for an individual activity showing source documentation indicating that all of the statutes, executive orders and regulations were found to be at the primary level of compliance.
	Documentation of all consultations made during the environmental review process, including correspondence with the <b>State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)</b> . The letter to the SHPO should describe the CDBG funded activity and the process of evaluation used to determine Historic or archaeological status.

d. <u>Ca</u>	Project description:
	An <i>Environmental Finding Form</i> , requiring mitigation and noticing
	A Form 58.6
	A completed <i>Statutory Worksheet</i> for an individual activity, showing source documentation, including steps followed to mitigate any secondary level of compliance findings, OR
	A Minor Rehabilitation Environmental Review Form for a housing rehab program.  (Note: a statutory worksheet is no longer required for reconstructions and a simple Appendix A needs to be completed.)
	Any correspondence received <b>documenting consultation with officials or agencies</b> contacted in order to comply with items on the Statutory Worksheet or Minor Rehabilitation Environmental Review form.
	A copy of the <i>Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds</i> (NOI/RROF) that was published and/or posted and disseminated.
	Distribution list for NOI/RROF and/or proof of publication
	Copies of any <b>comments received</b> during the seven-day (7) comment period (10-day period if posted and disseminated) and the grantee's response to those comments.
	A copy of the <i>Request for Release of Funds/Environmental Certification</i> that has been forwarded to the State.
	Did Department wait 15 days to release the funds?
	Appendix A for each site-specific rehabilitation within the program area (jurisdiction wide or target area) identified by the MRER. (Note: Most jurisdictions use the Section 106 agreement with SHPO on units less than 50 years old so only units over 50 years old will have letters to SHPO for rehab projects.)
	Statutory Worksheet for each site-specific major rehabilitation project or reconstruction within the target area identified by the MRER, necessary noticing and submission of Request for Release of Funds and Certification (if secondary findings).
	A letter to and a response from the State Historic Preservation Officer ( <b>SHPO</b> ) or documentation of 30 day comment period. The letter should describe the activity and the process of evaluation used to determine Historic or archaeological status

			If applicable, Environmental Review Record containing all required evidence that the <b>8-step floodplain process</b> as described in the GMM (Chapter 3) has been followed?
		e. <u>E</u>	Invironmental Assessment - Part 58.36)
			Project description:
			An <i>Environmental Finding Form</i> , for Environmental Assessment
		-	A Form 58.6
			A completed <i>Environmental Assessment</i> including source documentation of findings and correspondence related to findings.
			A copy of the combined <i>Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact/Intent to Request Release of Funds</i> that was published, posted and disseminated.
			A copy of the <b>distribution list</b> and <b>proof of publication</b> for the Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact/Intent to Request Release of Funds.
			A copy of all <b>comments received</b> regarding the Finding of No Significant Impact that were received during the 15-day comment period and the grantee's response to those comments.
			A copy of the <i>Request for Release of Funds/Environmental Certification</i> that has been forwarded to the State.
			Did Department wait 15 days to release the funds?
Y	N	3.	Did this project require an <b>Environmental Impact Statement</b> (EIS)?
			Summarize the documentation in the Environmental Review Record (ERR):
Y	N	4.	Was the Environmental Review Record for all of the above activities available for public review? If not, explain:

Y	N	5.	Does site visit indicate that an environmental condition or issue that should have been addressed in the Environmental Review Record was overlooked?
Y	N	6.	Does the environmental document include an adequate description of the entire project and demonstrate that any adverse environmental impacts of the project on the environment and the environment on the project have been considered?  If no, explain:
Y	N	7.	Does it appear that any omissions were made in doing the environmental review, resulting in a clearly inappropriate level of clearance finding?  If <u>yes</u> , describe:
		8.	<b>If a Statutory Worksheet was completed</b> , check whether there are any secondary findings regarding the following, and respond to subsequent questions as appropriate:

70 ....

**Historic Preservation?** 

Y N

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee complete procedures per 36 CFR 800.5? Explain.

Y N b. Floodplain Management?

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee complete and implement the 8-Step decision making process? (Note: projects may be approved within the floodplain if the grantee determines there is no practicable alternative).

#### Y N c. Wetlands Protection?

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee complete and implement the 8-Step decision making process? (Note: Projects may be approved if there is no practicable alternative outside the wetland area. However, such activities require a permit from the U.S. Corps of Engineers under provision of the Clean Water Act).

#### Y N d. Coastal Zone Management?

If <u>yes</u>, did the Coastal Zone Commission or delegated planning commission concur with the grantee and determine that the project is consistent with the applicable Coastal Zone Plan?

### Y N e. Sole Source Aquifers (Safe Drinking Water Act)?

If <u>yes</u>, was the EPA consulted regarding the mitigation measures and is there evidence that the mitigation measures are being carried out? Explain.

#### Y N f. Endangered Species?

If <u>yes</u>, were the appropriate State and Federal agencies consulted regarding identification of species or habitat, necessary mitigation's and other appropriate actions?

#### Y N g. Wild and Scenic Rivers?

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee consult with the U.S. Dept. of Interior, National Park Service for resolution and mitigation assistance?

#### Y N h. Air Quality?

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee negotiate suitable mitigation measures with the Air Quality Management District or Board?

#### Y N i. Farmland Protection?

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee consult with the Dept. of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service and identify mitigation measures?

#### Y N j. Environmental Justice?

If <u>yes</u>, is there documentation that the adverse impacts of this project has been avoided or mitigated to the extent practicable?

# Y N k. **Noise?** Identify level:

If <u>yes</u>, have appropriate mitigation measures been incorporated into the project and is there evidence that they are being carried out? Explain:

### Y N l. Explosive or Flammable Operations?

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee mitigate the hazard with the construction of a barrier of adequate size and strength to protect the project from the explosive or flammable hazard?

#### Y N m. Toxic Chemicals and Radioactive Materials?

If <u>yes</u>, did the grantee consult with the appropriate Federal, State, or local agencies? Have appropriate mitigation measures been incorporated into the project and is there evidence that they are being carried out?

### Y N n. Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones?

If <u>yes</u>, is there documentation that the project will not be frequently used or occupied by people, and has the airport operator provided written assurance that there are no plans to purchase the project site?

- \*Y \*N 9. <u>If an Environmental Assessment (EA) was done</u> did it indicate that the project raises issues regarding any of the following:
  - Slope stability, unfavorable soil conditions, prime agricultural land, geologic conditions or hazards, or permeability,

\_\_\_\_ Water quality,

Manmade or natural hazards, housing, transportation, public services, utilities, or the urban fabric

Y N 10. If yes to #9 above, have appropriate mitigation measures been identified in the environmental review, and incorporated into the project and is there evidence they are being carried out? (i.e., Was the source documentation credible, traceable and supportive of the factors being evaluated?) Explain:

# Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

# **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

# **6. Financial Management Checklist**

Gra	intee:		Contract #:
CD	BG R	ep:	Date(s):
A.	<u>C</u>	ASH	RECEIPTS
Pur	pose:		determine whether the grantee has a generally accepted accounting procedures to ount for CDBG funds.
Y	N	1.	Are CDBG cash receipts accounted for separately by grant? Explain:
Y В.	N <u>C</u>		Does the cash received reported to the Department agree with the grantee's recorded cash receipts? Explain:  DISBURSEMENTS
Pu	rpose	gen	determine whether the grantee's accounting of cash disbursed complies with erally accepted accounting principals; that adequate audit trails have been ablished; and supporting documents are being maintained.
		1.	Does the grantee account for CDBG expenditures separately by:
Y	N		a. Grant?
Y	N		b. Grant activity? (i.e., Rehab, Program Admin., General Admin.)  Explain:
Y	N	2.	Are the audit trails in the grantee's records adequate to trace back to the source documents? Explain:

Y	N	3.	Does the cash disbursed reported to the Department agree with the grantee's records? Explain:
		4.	Answer the following questions based on your review of the grantee's expenditures:
Y	N		a. Are the expenditures being charged to the proper activities?
Y	N		b. Are they eligible CDBG costs?
Y	N		c. Are source documents maintained to support the charges?
Y	N		d. Are the costs budgeted?
			Explain:
Y	N	5.	If the grantee is charging an indirect cost rate to the grant, has the rate been approved by the State Controller's office? Explain:
		6.	If the grantee is charging directly allocable costs to the grant, answer the following questions:
Y	N		a. Is the method used to allocate the cost reasonable?
Y	N		b. Is the cost allocation plan supportable?
Y	N		c. Does the allocation plan distribute costs equitable for the benefits received?
			Explain:

## C. RESIDENTIAL REHABILITATION LOAN ACCOUNT

 $Purpose: \quad To \ determine \ if \ the \ residential \ rehabilitation \ loan \ account \ is \ being \ properly \ administered.$ 

- Y N 1. Have funds in the residential rehabilitation account been used for any unauthorized purposes? Explain:
- Y N 2. Has interest earned on the residential rehabilitation account been returned to the State? Explain:

Y N 3. Have unspent funds in the residential rehabilitation account been returned to the grantee's program account and used for other loans? Explain:

Y N 4. Have all deposits to the residential rehabilitation account been based on approved loan amounts? Explain:

- Y N 5. Does the grantee treat the deposits to the residential rehabilitation account as a cash disbursement from the program account? Explain:
- Y N 6. Has the required approvals of the rehabilitation work performed by a contractor been obtained prior to disbursing all funds from the residential rehabilitation loan account? Explain:

## D. <u>SUBCONTRACTOR COSTS</u>

Purpose: To determine whether the subcontractors are properly invoicing for the services provided to the grant and whether the grantee is properly recording the costs in their books of original entry.

Secure a copy of the contract between the grantee and their subcontractor as a reference. Determine whether the subcontractor is required to substantiate any or all of the costs charged to the grant in order to be in compliance with the terms of the contract.

- Y N 1. Are the supporting documentation required by the terms of the contract provide by the subcontractor? Explain:
- Y N 2. Does the subcontractor invoice CDBG charges by Grant activity (i. e., Rehab., Program Admin., General Admin., etc.)? Explain:
- Y N 3. Does the grantee record subcontractor costs by Grant activity (i.e., Rehab., Program Admin., General Admin., etc.)? Explain:

## REPORTING THE STATUS OF CDBG FUNDS

Purpose: To determine whether the grantee is complying with the fiscal reporting requirements of the CDBG program.

- Y N 1. Does the grantee maintain records that will reconcile the accrued expenditures reported to the Department to their books of original entry? Explain:
- Y N 2. If the subcontractor prepares the financial reports submitted to the Department, does the grantee reconcile the reported amounts to their books of original entry? Explain:
- Y N 3. Are the reports submitted within the allowable time frame? Explain:
- Y N 4. Is program income used before requesting CDBG funds when applicable? Explain:

## F. <u>CASH MANAGEMENT</u>

Purpose: To determine whether the grantee's cash management procedures is adequate to comply with HUD requirements.

Y N 1. Has the grantee's cash balance exceeded the \$5,000 limit allowed by HUD? Explain:

Y N 2. If the grantee has exceeded the allowable cash limit, do they have a supportable explanation for exceeding? Explain:

#### G. <u>INTEREST INCOME</u>

Purpose: To determine how the grantee treats income earned from CDBG fund.

Y N 1. Is interest income and/or revenue earned from CDBG funds returned to the Department at least quarterly? Explain:

## H. PROGRAM INCOME

Purpose: To determine whether the grantee is properly accounting for program income earned from CDBG funds.

- Y N 1. Does the most recent Annual Grantee Performance report reconcile to the grantee's fiscal records? Explain:
- Y N 2. Does the grantee account for program income earned from 1993 grants and later as program income regardless if there is a break in CDBG awards? Explain:
- Y N 3. Does the grantee account for program income separately? [Note: It is highly recommended that program income be accounted for separately and not be commingled with other funds.] Explain:

#### I. <u>INTERNAL CONTROLS</u>

Purpose: To report any weaknesses in the grantee's internal controls that are noted during the review.

- Y N 1. Are invoices reviewed and approved by a responsible party(s) prior to payment? Explain:
- Y N 2. Has the review revealed any weaknesses in the grantee's separation of duties? Explain:

#### J. GRANTEE'S OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITIES

Purpose: To determine if the grantee is performing the required oversight of their subcontractor.

Y N 1. If the grantee's contract with the subcontractor requires the reimbursement of actual costs, does the grantee monitor the subcontractor's records to ensure CDBG costs are properly accounted for and supported by source documents? Explain:

Y N 2. If the grantee's contract with the subcontractor is a fixed price contract, does the grantee ensure that the terms of the contract are complied with and that the invoiced amounts are prepared in accordance with the payment schedule detailed in the contract? Explain:

Y N 3. If the subcontractor maintains the Residential Rehabilitation Account, does the grantee perform oversight functions to ensure compliance with CDBG requirements? Explain:

## K. OTHER

Purpose: To review the other areas not covered earlier in the grantee's financial management system.

Y N 1. Are fiscal records required to be maintained for a minimum of 3 years after the grant is closed? Explain:

Y N 2. If subcontractor audits disclose any findings for the CDBG program, does the grantee have a procedure for resolving the findings? Explain:

Y N 3. Are the amounts reported as match/in-kind adequately supported with the type of source documents required for other expenditures charged to the CDBG program? Explain:

- Y N 4. Are the audit costs charged to the CDBG program properly documented? Explain:
- Y N 5. If the audit costs are a shared cost, is the method used to determine CDBG share of the audit costs reasonable and properly supported? Explain:

## Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

#### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

#### 7. Housing Rehabilitation Checklist

Grant	ee:		Contract #:	
CDBC	G Rep:		Date(s):	
<u>Appli</u>	cations:			
1.				
	# Received	# Approved	# In Process	# Completed
Mark	eting of Program	<u>ı</u> :		
2.		•	1 0	vas provided to potential ng persons then did they do b

### **Program Guidelines:**

- Y N 3. Are the guidelines being used consistent with those submitted to satisfy the special conditions? If not, explain: [Note throughout your monitoring that where grantee's program implementation is inconsistent with its approved program guidelines, you'll usually have a monitoring finding.]
- Y N 4. Have the guidelines been amended? If yes, when? [Obtain a copy if we don't have the amended version.]

#### **File Review:**

5. Review a minimum of four randomly selected rehabilitation loan files, including at least one for a rental property, at least one for pre-1978 lead-based paint, and one for a unit not yet completed). [Use the following forms to summarize the basic information, and make additional notes as needed.]

Review each loan/grant file for the following items:

CDE	G <u>Concerns</u> : (unless in violation of grantee's guidelines)		1	2	2 3		3	4	
	Name of Loan Applicant:								
		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
1.	Completed and signed loan application								
2.	Credit report (amortized loans only)								
3.	Mortgage verification								
4.	Preliminary title report or lot book report								
5.	Appraisal or debt-to-value test								
6.	Request for Notice/Notice of Default for all loans listed on title report								
7.	Documentation of any special circumstances that were considered when approving a loan/grant that do not comply with program guidelines or grant agreement								
8.	Work write-up								
9.	Cost estimate								
10.	Do Sweat equity forms record:								
	a. The type of labor or task,								
	b. Hours worked								
11.	Review all bids submitted for cost reasonableness								
12.	Notice of Completion								
13.	Loan Approvals								

CDF	BG <u>Findings</u> :		1		2	3		4	
		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
1.	Income verification								
2.	Floodplain insurance (if in floodplain)								
3.	Hazard insurance binder with grantee listed as loss payee								
4.	Deed of Trust								
5.	Promissory Note								
6.	Truth in lending disclosure statement								
7.	Notice of right to rescind transaction								
8.	Loan Agreement								
9.	Fair Lending Notice								
10.	Affordability provisions or RLA (if applicable)								
11.	Signed lead-based paint notice in the files								
12.	Signed construction contract over \$10,000 including:								
	<ul> <li>a) equal opportunity provisions, See GMM, Chapter 4</li> <li>b) liquidated damages clause</li> <li>c) cancellation clause for nonperformance</li> <li>d) payment schedule</li> <li>e) "The contractor hereby agrees to abide by the requirements of executive</li> </ul>								
10	order 11246 and all implementing regulations of the Department of Labor."								
13.	Verification of contractor's worker's comp. and liability insurance								
14.	Verification of contractor's license and eligibility								
15.	Verification that contractor is not debarred								
16.	Record of contractor progress payments and payment approvals signed by all parties to the contract								
17.	Change orders for any work or costs different from that described in original specs and drawings signed by all parties to the contract								
18.	Do the disbursements approximate the loan amount?								
19.	Signed copy of building permit (where required)								
20.	Appendix A for each rehab or reconstruction with SHPO letter or other back up docs if needed.								
21.	Reconstruction checklist or proof of overcrowding if a reconstruction or room addition is done.								

### **Eligibility:**

- Y N 6. Are the income requirements consistent with CDBG TIG Income Limits? If not, describe problems:
  - 7. How is the income verified?
- Y N 8. Is there third-party documentation? (letters, forms, etc.)
- Y N 9. Are all adult household members' incomes accounted for?
- Y N 10. Is current and projected income used? (next 12 months)

#### **Loans/Grants:**

Y N 11. Are loans/grants made in accordance with program guidelines? If not, explain:

#### **Defaults/Foreclosures:**

Y N 12. Have there been any defaults? If yes, has the grantee handled them according to program guidelines?

#### **Rentals:**

- Y N 13. Are rental properties being rehabilitated under this grant? If <u>no</u>, skip to #17.
- Y N 14. Is the grantee following its guidelines re: rental rehabilitation (i.e., annual review and/or rent limitation agreements)? If not, explain:
- Y N 15. Is there an affordability standard equivalent to our rent limitation agreement? (30% of 80%)
- Y N 16. Did any projects involve temporary relocation? If <u>yes</u>, complete the relocation

checklist.

# **Construction Contracts:**

		17.	How are contractors solicited? (pre-bidders list, advertise)
		18.	Who selects the contractor?
		19.	What system does the grantee use to ensure timely completion of the work?  Bonds Time Limits  Contract Language Progress Payments  Retention Other:
		20.	If disputes arise, how are they resolved (per program guidelines)? (i.e., Dispute resolution, mediation, arbitration) Do they require binding arbitration in the loan agreement.
Y	N	21.	Does the grantee have standard construction specifications? If not, explain:
Rec	consti	ructio	Is it included in the bid documents/contract?
		22.	What documentation was used to prove occupancy for a period of time during the preceding 12 months by a TIG household or ordered vacant by the building official within the last 6 months?
			PG & E 6 mos. Since red tag date Utilities Other:
Y	N	23.	Was a test for reconstruction completed properly and in the files?
Y	N	24.	Was an appraisal completed for after reconstruction value and new construction value in the area?
<u>On</u>	-site ]	Inspec	ctions: Using the work write-ups and any change orders as a guide, answer the following:
Y	N	26.	Does the on-site property inspection confirm that the rehabilitation work was

- done according to the rehabilitation contract including any change orders? If not, explain:
- Y N 27. Does the on-site inspection indicate, in your opinion, that the rehabilitation work has been performed up to the program rehabilitation guideline standards? Explain:
- Y N 28. Were any general property improvements included which are not allowed in the program guidelines? If yes, list those improvements:

#### **Other Requirements:**

- Y N 29. Are <u>unused</u> loan funds for each rehabilitation project put back into the loan pool? (Note: these funds are not program income)
- Y N 30. Has the grantee identified more than one target area in the grant application?
- Y N 31. Has the grantee completed a housing rehabilitation project in each identified target area?

#### **Monitor for Worst Case Housing Needs for the 2001 grants:**

- Y N 32. Did this grantee receive State Objective Points for Worst Case Housing? If yes, complete #33 #36.
- Y N 33. Is this project rental housing?
- Y N 34. Does the project area target at least 25% of the program beneficiaries that are LTIG households who do <u>not</u> receive Federal housing assistance?
- Y N 35. Does the project reduce these beneficiaries' rent and utility costs to below 50% of their gross incomes?
- Y N 36. Does the project benefit persons who live in severely substandard housing?

# Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

# **GRANTEE MONITORING**

## 8. Labor Standards Checklist

Grantee:	nntee: Contract #:		
CDBG Rep:	Date(s):		
FILES AND RECORDKEEPING:			
Name of Labor Standards Coordinator:		_	
2. Does the grantee allow access to all labor administration and enforcement of labor s		this project, at	testing to the
3. Are the following documents on file with	the grantee?		
CDBG <u>Concerns</u> :		On file wi	th Grantee
		Y	N
a. Requests for wage decisions and modifi-	cations, e-mail response		
b. Notice of Contract award/Pre-constructi	ion conf/Construction start		
c. Completed Wage Table			
d. Minutes of Pre-construction Conference	2		
e. Correspondence with prime contractor			
f. Copies of payment requests and progres	ss reports		
g. Periodic inspections by grantee			

# **WAGE DECISIONS:**

4.	Federal Wage Decision used:	10-day update:
5.	State Wage Decisions used:	10-day update:
		10-day update:
		10-day update:
		10-day update:

- 6. Did the grantee use an Invitation for Bid to procure the prime contractor? If not, this is a finding which cannot be resolved.
- 7. Are the following clauses found in the bid package, each contract and subcontract?

CDBG <u>Findings</u> :	Bid Docs		Prime Contract		Sub Contractor		Cont	Sub tractor
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
a. Federal wage decision								
b. State wage decision								
c. Federal labor standard provisions								
d. State labor standard provisions								
e. Certification of Understanding and Authorization								
f. Certification of labor standards and prevailing wage								
g. Standard bid solicitation language								
h. Female and minority goals and timetables								
i. Suggested minority/women business enterprise clauses for bid docs								
j. Standard contract language								
k. Standard contract language for construction over \$10,000								
Standard bid solicitation language								
m. Scope of services								

CDBG <u>Findings</u> :	Bid Docs		Prime Contract		Sub Contractor		Sub Contractor	
					1			2
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
n. Schedule for work completion								
o. Conflict of interest clause								
p. Equal opportunity clause								
q. Provision to maintain worker compensation insurance								
r. Section 3 clause, action plan, and assurance if required								
s. Section 3 clause, action plan, and assurance if required								
t. Records retention clause								
u. Provision for permitting monitoring visits and audits								
v. Provision that subrecipient is responsible for compliance								

# 8. Are the following additional items found in the contracts

CDBG <u>Findings</u> :	Prime		Su	ıb 1	Sub 2		Sub 3	
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
a. Budget and payment schedule								
b. Provision for termination for poor performance								
c. Non discrimination clause								
d. Anti lobbying clause								
e. Conflict of interest clause								
f. Provision for maintaining liability, unemployment insurance								
g. Provision for record retention of four yours								
h. Provision to permit monitoring and auditing								
i. Provision to permit monitoring for conformity with grant agreement								

9. Review the **file** for the prime and each subcontractor for the following items:

CDI	BG <u>Findings</u> :	Pri	me	Su	b 1	Sub 2		Sub 3	
		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
a.	Verification of debar list check								
b.	Verification of license								
c.	Verification of performance bond								
d.	Verification of payment bond								
e.	Signed Certification of Understanding and Authorization								
f.	Signed Certification of labor standards and prevailing wage								
g.	Employee interviews								
h.	Letters authorizing deductions of any kind								
i.	Apprentice agreements (if applicable)								
j.	Payroll records and statements of compliance for each week								

Discuss any deficiencies:

#### **PAYROLL REVIEW:**

- 5. Identify the classifications used on the project: Attach and complete table from GMM
- Y N 6. Have weekly payrolls been submitted promptly and reviewed by grantee? [Look for "date received" stamp on the payroll or contractor correspondence to determine if payrolls have been submitted on a prompt basis. Advise grantee that payroll submissions are required under the Copeland Act.] Explain:
- Y N 7. Are all payrolls numbered sequentially?
- Y N 8. Did payroll #1 start at the same time as start of construction? Check date on Notice of Construction Start form for verification. If <u>not</u>, discuss:
- Y N 9. Are all payrolls signed by the contractor's owner or a corporate officer and does the signature match the signature on the "Certification of Authorization and Understanding"? If not, payroll is not actually certified. The signature on the statement of compliance must be the same signature as what is found on the Certification of Authorization and Understanding.
- Y N 10. If no to #9, is there a second Certification of Authorization and Understanding in the file for the person who is signing them? Discuss:
- Y N 11. Are addresses, names and social security numbers of workers shown on the first payroll on which their names appear? If no, explain:
- Y N 12. Are all work classifications appropriate/consistent with the types of work completed? [Classifications that require special attention include laborers and helpers. Check classification definitions to make certain they appear on the wage determinations.]
- Y N 13. Has item 4(a) or 4(b) regarding fringe benefits on the Statement of Compliance been checked? One or the other must be checked. [If the plan, fund, or program appears questionable, direct the grantee to request further information from the contractor and/or the plan paid.]

- Y N 14. Are "other" deduction amounts questionable? Discuss: [The requirements for permissible deductions are contained in 29 CFR Part 3 Sections 3.5 and 3.6. The deductions outlined in Section 3.6 (other than those required by law) require written authorization from the workers. This written authorization should be in the file.]
- Y N 15. If there are split classifications, have daily time records been kept to document the hours worked in each classification? If no, explain:
- Y N 16. Is a payroll form other than WH 347 being used? If yes, describe:
- Y N 17. If yes to # 16, is a completed Statement of Compliance being used and in the file? (If the contractor is using computer payrolls, all computer codes should be clearly recognizable and the computer payroll must show all information required.)

For the following questions, randomly select five workers from each contractor and subcontractor, including the prime. Cover a variety of pay periods and review for appropriate wage rates. If all five check out, move on to the next contractor's payroll. Where the compliance rate is less than 80% (fewer than four out of five are appropriately paid) redirect the labor standards coordinator to review all certified payroll for that contractor a second time. Note discrepancies and compute restitution. Restitution will be required in order to resolve the finding.

Y N 18. Do the wage rates on the payrolls equal or exceed the rates on the decision? If no, has there been wage restitution? Discuss:

Underpayments <u>Findings</u> :	Prime		Sub 1		Sub 2		Sub 3	
Contractor - Worker - Period - Rate paid - Correct rate -								
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								

Underpayments <u>Findings</u> :	Pri	Prime		Sub 1		Sub 2		b 3
Contractor - Worker - Period - Rate paid - Correct rate -								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								

Y	N	19.	If apprentices/trainees are shown on the payrolls, are they clearly identified as to
			wage/step percentage?

Y	N	20.	If apprentices/trainees	are shown or	the payrolls.	does the grantee	e's file contain:
1	T.4	20.	ii apprendees/damees	are shown or	i die payrons,	does the grantee	s inc contain.

\_\_\_\_ State or DOL registration
Apprenticeship Agreement
Ratio requirements
Discuss deficiencies:

Y N 21. If classifications are used that are not in the wage decision, is there a document showing that an additional classification was requested from State CDBG or evidence of conforming classifications to existing wage decision classifications, i.e., correspondence between grantee and State CDBG?

Y N 22. Does the file contain letters, memos, etc., that show that frequent and timely reviews were made? If <u>no</u>, explain:

Y N 23. Is overtime paid for hours worked in excess of eight in a day and over 40 in a week? If <u>no</u>, explain:

#### **EMPLOYEE INTERVIEW REVIEW:**

Y	N	24.	Were employee interviews conducted by the grantee? Discuss:
Y	N	25.	Were a representative number of trades covered? Note: if there are twelve painters on the certified payroll, then there must be interviews for two painters. Notes from the labor standards coordinator, showing comparison from interview and certified payroll should also be reviewed.
<u>CC</u>	<u>NTR</u>	ACT(	OR INFO:
Y	N	26.	If violations were indicated in the contract enforcement file, was the State notified?
Y	N	28.	If violation(s), was action taken to correct the violation? Describe:
SI	<u>re in</u>	<u>SPEC</u>	<u>TION</u> :
Y	N	29.	For projects still under construction, were each of the following posted on a bulletin board prominently located on the project site which can be seen easily by the workers?
			Wage decision?
			Notice to Employees?
			Discuss any deficiencies:

Y N 30. Is the grantee prepared to keep all payroll records for at least <u>four years after the final reports are submitted by the Grantee to the Department?</u> If <u>no</u>, discuss:

\*Y \*N 31. Did the grantee submit a Final Wage Compliance Report or Labor Standards Enforcement Report? If <u>no</u>, discuss:

## **NOTE TO CDBG REPRESENTATIVE:**

#### **INSERT WAGE TABLE**

#### Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

#### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

#### 9. Planning and Technical Assistance Final Products

Gra	antee:					Contract #:					
CD	BG F	Rep:	Date(s):								
Y	Y N	1.	Die	d the	grantee sub	omit the final products by the end of the contract term?					
		2.			-	duct below. Check Y or N next to each if the final product did what was in the approved application and executed contract.					
			Y	N	Item # 1:						
			Y	N	Item # 2:						
			Y	N	Item # 3:						
			Y	N	Item # 4:						
Y	N	3.				acts contain an acknowledgment on the front cover that CDBG prepare all or part of the document?					
Y	N	4.			-	ormed or planned as a result of this grant result in TIG benefit? ption of how:					

		5.	If P/TA funds were used to prepare result in the receipt of funds from:		ınding a	application, did that application
			CDBG?	7	N	Unknown
			Other funding sources? Y	7	N	Unknown
Y	N	6.	Did the grantee spend all of is locations?	al cas	h matcl	n prior to spending any CDBG
Y	N	7.	Did the grantee choose to procure of the P/TA-funded activities? Ex			consultant to carry out all or part
			Final Product(s):  A narrative description o  A list of the proposals, re  A copy of the RFP/RFQ	f the peceive	procure ed with to procu	-
Y	N	8.	If applicable, did the final product include an implementation plan for			1 0
Y	N	9.	Did the grantee submit the Notice to notify the public about the acco			

#### Additional tasks for Rep. - once final product has been reviewed:

- Y N 1. Acknowledgment letter sent to grantee notifying them final product has been reviewed and approved/disapproved-reasons given with timeline for response.
- Y N 2. If approved, has closeout package been received, including procurement documentation, Notice of Public Hearing, final FAR and Certification of Completion?

Y N 3. Was closeout memo done and sent to Fiscal? If <u>no</u>, why not?

Y N 4. P/TA file checklist completed, file transferred to central file area.

#### Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

#### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

#### 10. Procurement Checklist

Grantee: _		Contract #:						
CDBG Rep: _			Date(s):					
(Contract Att. B	?)							
Y N 1.	Is there documentation on file of how the contractors were selected and equipment or supplies procured (i.e. contract, MOU or MOA, subrecipient agreement)? $(24\ CFR\ 85.36(b)(9))$ Check the files for the following items [some will be N/A depending on procurement method.]							
(Each Procuren								
	#1	#2	Ect.					
			Documentation of price quotes received for items or services procured with <b>small purchase method</b>					
			Request for Proposals (RFPs) and/or Invitations for Bid (IFBs)					
			Public notices of RFPs or IFBs					
			Mailing list of RFP or IFB recipients					
			All qualification statements, proposals and/or bids received					
			RFP ratings and documentation of selection process					
			Documentation of selection for IFB, if lowest bidder not selected					
			Sole source/single bid justification and approval letters, if applicable					
			Cost estimates/data used to determine cost reasonableness					
			Verification of contractor eligibility					
(Each Contract	File)							
			Signed contracts and all approved amendments					
			For all of its contractors and subcontractors, does grantee have documentation showing <b>adequate insurance coverage</b>					
Discuss	any deficie	ncies:						

#### Contractors and Subcontractors, Subrecipients and OGEs. (Contract Sec. 18)

Y N 2. List any contractors (including auditors, loan servicers, consultants, program operators, architects, engineers, construction, but <u>not</u> including individual homeowner rehab. contractors) that receive(d) CDBG funds through this grant. If none, note "none."

Note if grantee has written contract on file and verification of the contractor's license status and federal eligibility (*not debarred*).

		Li	icenso file		Del chec fil	k on		tract file?
	Contractor:	Y	N	N/A	Y	N	Y	N
1)								
2)								
3)								
4)								
5)								
6)								

Y N 3. Does each written contract/subrecipient/MOU agreement contain the following items: [check for each contractor as numbered in question 1] (*Contract Sec. 18*)

1	2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	
						Scope of services to be provided, consistent with the State contract
						Identification of intended beneficiaries, if applicable
						Schedule for work completion
						Budget and payment schedule
						Provisions for termination for non or poor performance

Other provisions required regarding:										
 					Equal opportunity (see Contract Att. B.7; GMM, Chapter 4)					
 					Section 3 (if applicable)					
 					Nondiscrimination (see Contract Att. A.2; GMM, Chapter 4)					
 					Labor standards (see Contract Att. A.2 and B.9)					
 					Anti-lobbying (see Contract Att. B.1)					
 	-				Conflict of interest (see Contract Att. B.5)					
 					Provisions for maintenance of workers' comp. insurance					
 					Provisions for maintenance of unemployment disability and liability insurance, as required (Contract Paragraph. $18(b)(4)$ )					
_					Provisions for records retention (min. <u>4</u> yrs.) (Contract Paragraph 21(b)) min 3 years okay until 7/1/03.					
					Provision permitting monitoring/auditing (Contract Paragraph 21(b))					
					Provision that a subrecipient <u>or other governmental entity</u> (OGE) is responsible for compliance with all provisions delegated to it (including items specified above. Attach_Subrecipient Checklist from GMM Chapter 2) (suggest we					
 					actually incorporate the checklist)  Provision that grantee will monitor for conformity with its State contract					

Y	Ν	4.	For construction contracts over \$10,000°, are all of the following clauses
			included in the solicitation for bid and contract and subcontracts as applicable?
			(See GMM Chapter 4 for sample language.) (Contract Att. B.7(e); 41 CFR Ch.
			60-1.5 (a); Exec. Order 11246). If no, explain deficiencies below:
			Notice of Requirement for Affirmative Action (see GMM, Chapter 4)
			Equal Opportunity Clause (see GMM, Chapter 4)
			Federal Equal Employment Opportunity Contract Specifications(see
			GMM, Chapter 4)

MBE/WBE Goals and Timetables (see GMM, Chapter 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Homeowner, contractor construction contracts over \$10,000 may substitute the phrase "The contractor hereby agrees to abide by the requirements of executive order 11246 and all implementing regulations of the Department of Labor." Explain any deficiencies and concerns:

Y	N	5.	If yes to #4, does the agreement have all the required E.O. language, cancellation clause for nonperformance, and a payment schedule?
<u>Sm</u>	all Pu	rchase	e Procurement: (24 CFR 85.36(d)(1))(Procurement File)
Y	N	1.	Does the grantee have a written procedure for procuring equipment, supplies and services by a small purchase method? If not, explain:
Y	N	2.	If yes to #1, does procedure require the grantee to obtain at least three written or oral bids? If not, explain:
Y	N	3.	If the grantee used the small purchase procedure for the purchase of services or equipment that cost at least \$5,000 but not more than \$100,000, were an adequate number of price quotes obtained? Explain:
			adequate number of price quotes octamed. Explain
Y	N	4.	Did the grantee perform a cost/price analysis for this procurement transaction?
			Explain

Requests for Proposals: (Competitive Proposals;  $24 \ CFR \ 85.36(d)(3)$ ) [If the services, materials, or property procured exceeded \$100,000 and a Request for Proposal method of procurement was used, answer the following questions.

Y N 1. Was there public notification of the RFP? If not, explain:

Y N 2. Did the grantee use a prequalified bidders list? If yes, when was that list last updated?

Y N 3. Did the RFP contain a statement of clearly defined deliverables? Explain:

Y N 4. Did the grantee use a documented methodology for evaluating proposals? Explain:

Y N 5. Did the grantee award the contract to the offeror whose proposal, when evaluated using the methodology specified, was the most advantageous to the grantee? Explain:

Y	N	6.	Did the grantee perform a cost/price analysis for this procurement transaction? Explain:
Y	N	7.	Where did the grantee disclose the process for resolution of disputes?  in RFP/RFQ in other documentation (specify)
Y	N	8.	If there was any apparent conflict of interest involved in this transaction, did the appropriate official remove him/herself from any activity associated with this procurement transaction? Explain:
[If t	the ser	vices,	<b>Ehod of Procurement:</b> (24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)) materials, or property procured exceeded \$100,000 and a Sealed Bid (Invitation of procurement was used, answer the following questions.]  Was there public notification of the IFB? If not, explain:
1	1	1.	was there public notification of the IFB. If not, explain.
Y	N	2.	Did the grantee use a prequalified bidders list? If yes, when was that list last updated?

Y	N	3.	Did the IFB contain a statement of clearly defined deliverables? Explain:
Y	N	4.	Did the grantee select the bidder whose bid was lowest in price? If not, did grantee document its rationale for not selecting this bidder?
Y	N	5.	Did the grantee perform a cost/price analysis for this procurement transaction? If not, explain:
Y	N	6.	Where did the grantee disclose the process for resolution of disputes?  in IFB in other documentation (specify)
Y	N	7.	If there was any apparent conflict of interest involved in this transaction, did the appropriate official remove him/herself from any activity associated with this procurement transaction? Explain:

Noncompetitive Proposal	(Sole Source):	(24 CFR	85.36(d)(4)
-------------------------	----------------	---------	-------------

Y	N	1.	Did the grantee document that this procurement transaction was not feasible under other procurement methods and receive permission from the Department to use the Sole Source method?
Y	N	2.	Did the grantee document that at least one of the following conditions applied to this transaction? If not, explain below:  the item or service was available only from a single source  the public exigency or emergency need for the item or service did not permit a delay resulting from competitive proposals  after a solicitation of a number of sources competition was deemed inadequate

Y N 3. Did the grantee perform a cost/price analysis for this transaction? Explain:

#### California Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

#### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

#### 11. Program Income Checklist

Gra	ntee:			Contract #:
CD]	BG R	ep:		Date(s):
(Ası	terisk	ed ite	ms can be completed prior to the monito	oring):
PI I	Reuse	e Plan	: (24CFR570.489(e); 24CFR570.504)	
*Y	N	1.	as of 11/96) If yes, give date latest plan	adiction's approved Reuse Plan? (required n locally approved:, and date latest If no, obtain g file. Comments:
*Y	N	2.	Did we review and approve the Reuse (Beginning in January 2002, both the I and approve the new or revised PI Reu Comment:	ED Rep and the G/NA Rep should review
*Y	N	3.	Did grantee commit PI to any open graprogram income? If yes, list activities  Open grant activities using PI:	ant activities, or "amend" the grant to use:  Additional PI-funded activities amended into grant:
*Y	N	4.	If additional PI-funded activities were amend submitted prior to 12-31-03? If	

PI I	Repor	<u>:ts</u> :	
*Y	N	5.	Do we have on file (should be in the living file) Quarterly and Annual PI Reports, and a combined GPR for the RLAs? Give dates and type of most recent reports:
			Comments:
*Y	N	6.	Were the latest Quarterly and Annual PI Reports and the RLA GPR (if applicable) submitted on time? If no, explain:
		*7.	Per the latest Quarterly or Annual PI Report, how much PI is currently on hand?  \$ As of (date):
*Y	N	8.	Is this reported amount excessive? Explain:
		*9.	Per program's historical list of grants awarded, list which grants may be generating PI (e.g., those providing loans or generating income):  Grant numbers:
		*10.	Per the latest Annual PI Report, which grants are listed as generating PI? Highlight any differences from the listing in # 9. Is any explanation given?

Grant numbers:

*Y	N	11.	Do the types of expenditures on the report (RLAs and open grant activities) correspond to the uses allowed in the most recent Reuse Plan? If no, explain:
*Y	N	12.	According to the most recent Annual PI Report are at least 51% of the expenditures from each RLA being used for revolving activities? (e.g., loans) If no, explain:
		[Note:	If less than 51% of the expenditures from an RLA during the July 1 thru June 30 period have been expended for revolving activities, then the fund is not substantially revolving and does not meet the test of being an RLA. Up to 49% of expenditures from an RLA can be used for non-revolving activities including grants, activity delivery and general administration.]
*Y	N	13.	Are the administrative expenditures on the Annual PI Report within the 18% limit? (Up to 18% of the jurisdiction's total PI expenditures for the year may be used for general administration of CDBG.) If no, discuss:
Y	N	14.	Has the jurisdiction "substantially disbursed" the PI received during the past year?
<u>Gra</u>	ante	e's Reco	ordkeeping: (Accounting Records/System, Program Income Files)
Y	N	15.	Does the jurisdiction have a recordkeeping system for:  Accounting Records/System:  receipt of PI when initially received disbursement of PI when initially disbursed receipt and disbursement of PI in RLAs  Program Income Files: Overlay compliance for PI-funded activities PI Reuse Plans PI Reports

			Project Files Program Guidelines for each RLA
			Discuss any deficiencies:
		16.	What is total amount of PI on hand at time of monitoring? (Include all accounts in which program income is kept, e.g., RLAs for housing and ED, and amounts committed to activities that have been associated with or amended into open grants) \$ Notice differences from item 7, above.
Y	N	17.	Based on the jurisdiction's financial records, does it appear that PI has been disbursed for the activities and amounts in the Reuse Plan? Explain:
Y	N	18.	For each PI-funded activity/project, do the jurisdiction's files document compliance with environmental review, labor standards, citizen participation, equal opportunity and other applicable federal overlay requirements? If yes, which? If no, explain: [Use other checklists to-review compliance with overlay requirements.]

Y	N	19.	Does the jurisdiction have procedures that ensure compliance with CDBG requirements, including: (Discuss deficiencies under each item)	
			eligible uses of funds	
			meeting national objective	
			compliance with federal overlay requirements	
			maintaining program records (e.g., loan files, EO, labor standards, procurement, etc.)	
			reporting requirements	
Y	N	20.	Did you use additional checklists to review PI-funded, non-open-grant, activity.  Note the activities and checklists used:  Activity or RLA Names:  Checklists completed:	ies?
			Comments:	
Re	volvin	ng Loa	an Accounts: (Program Income Files, Reporting File)	
Y	N	21.	Are the established Revolving Loan Accounts (RLAs) in agreement with the Reuse Plan? List the names of the RLAs authorized by the Reuse Plan:	
			If differences, explain:	

- Y N 22. Does the jurisdiction maintain a separate set of accounts for each RLA that account for receipt and expenditure of RLA funds? (Ask; no detailed fiscal review.) If no, explain:
- Y N 23. Does the information on the grantee's latest Annual or Quarterly PI Report showing PI receipts and expenditures correspond to the grantee's actual records? Discuss:
- Y N 24. Is the jurisdiction aware that at least 51% of the expenditures from each RLA must be expended for revolving activities (loans) by the end of the each program year, and that it must limit PI expenditures for non-revolving activities to 49% of funds expended each year? Is the jurisdiction on-track for meeting this requirement? If no, explain:
- Y N 25. Is each RLA limited to one CDBG-defined activity, as required? If no, does the jurisdiction have plans to amend its reuse plan to redefine the RLA activity?
- Y N 26. Are the RLA-funded activities different from activities being funded under an open grant? [Note: If RLA activity is same as open grant activity, then RLA funds must be expended on the activity prior to drawing down funds under the grant.]

## Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

#### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

#### 12. Housing Relocation Checklist

	antee:		Contract #:
CE	BG F	Rep:	Date(s):
Na	me of	Spec	ific Program or Project:
Re	siden	tial A	nti-Displacement and Relocation Assistance Plan:
Y	N	1.	Was a Residential Anti-displacement and Relocation Assistance Plan developed for the above program or project and submitted to the Department?
			Date submitted/approved by the Department. Same as in the grantee's file?
ple	ease c	omple	ms which involved temporary relocation of tenants and owner occupants, etc the following sections related to URA as implemented with 49CFR Part 24 04(d) as implemented with 24 CFR 570.488 (c) listed below.
<u>Te</u>	mpor	arv R	Relocation of Owner Occupants:
	,	ary r	coefficients.
Y	N		Did the above referenced programs/projects, caused temporary relocation of owner occupants, e.g. housing rehabilitation?
			Did the above referenced programs/projects, caused temporary relocation of owner occupants, e.g. housing rehabilitation?  Were temporary relocation funds available to owner occupants and if so was
	N	1.	Did the above referenced programs/projects, caused temporary relocation of owner occupants, e.g. housing rehabilitation?
Y Y	N	1.	Did the above referenced programs/projects, caused temporary relocation of owner occupants, e.g. housing rehabilitation?  Were temporary relocation funds available to owner occupants and if so was there a maximum amount and were the funds available as loans or grants,

		4.	How many families received temporarily relocation benefit?
		5.	What was the total cost for all projects receiving temporary relocation?  \$
Y	N	6.	Are there any unresolved claims regarding temporary relocation? If yes, describe:
<u>Ter</u>	npora	ary R	elocation of Tenants:
Y	N	1.	Were any TIG tenants temporarily relocated because of rehabilitation, demolition or conversion? (Complete Temporary Relocation form at the end of this checklist for each tenant household temporarily relocated.)
Y	N	2.	Were all tenant households notified of their relocation rights prior to or at the same time as the investor's loan application was submitted for processing?
Y	N	3.	If tenants were notified of their relocation rights and choose to vacate the unit prior to construction, was a voluntary move form obtained to document that they were doing so of their own volition?
Y	N	4.	If tenants were in the unit after the loan was approved were they given a second notice of their relocation rights and counseled about their options and assisted in relocating in cases where they were forced to move because of construction.

CDBGGMM 7/05 12-99

Y	N	5.	Did households receive the proper amount of relocation funds based on the relocation plan?		
		6.	How many families received temporarily relocation benefits?		
		7.	What was the total cost for all projects receiving temporary relocation?  \$		
Y	N	8.	Are there any unresolved claims regarding temporary relocation? If yes, describe:		
Fill	out to	empo	rary relocation claim form at the end of this checklist for each tenant		
	cated	_			
or r Rel	For projects/programs which involved permanent relocation or replacement housing units or reconstruction of existing units, please complete for all projects as related to Uniform Relocation Act (URA) as implemented with 49CFR Part 24 and Section 104(d) as implemented with 24 CFR 570.488 (c) listed below.				
<u>Der</u>	nolish	ed H	ousing Units:		
	Complete this part if any occupied and/or vacant occupiable TIG dwelling units were demolished or converted. <b>This includes reconstruction of houses.</b>				
Y	N	1.	Was a Comprehensive Project List or Reconstruction Test completed for each project?		
Y	N	2.	Was there demolition and/or conversion of any units? If yes, how many		

CDBGGMM 7/05 12-101

		3.	If yes to # 2 above, what activity caused the demolition or conversion?
Y	N	4.	If there was demolition and/or conversion with permanent displacement of occupants, did the grantee:  make the action public and submit to the state steps necessary under approved anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan replace all demolished or converted structures with comparable units keep a comprehensive project list of all occupants and the relocation benefits the received  Describe any deficiencies:
Re	place	ment	<u>Units</u> :
Y	N	1.	Does the Grantee have a plan to develop the necessary replacement units, and are they following their plan in the specified timeline?
Y	N	2.	Have replacement units been developed? If yes, how many and complete the line below for each replacement project.  # of original bedrooms , # of replacement bedrooms
Y	N	3.	If yes, was the number of replacement bedrooms at least equal to the number of bedrooms removed?
Y	N	4.	Were the replacement bedrooms/units within the same neighborhood (i.e., avoid impacting socio-economic balance of an area)?

Y	N	5.	If no to #4, was the chosen area applicable because of statutory priorities? If yes, what are the priorities?
Y	N	6.	If the replacement bedrooms did not at least equal in number the removed bedrooms, did the State and HUD approve the reduction?
Y	N	7.	Was an exception given, from the State and HUD, for the non-requirement of one for one replacement of units demolished or converted?
Y	N Y	8. N	Was there reconstruction of any homes? If yes, answer the following for each reconstruction:  a # of bedrooms in original unit, # of bedrooms in replacement unit.  b. Did the number of replacement bedrooms at least equal the same number of
	1	11	bedrooms demolished?
	Y	N	c. If the replacement bedrooms were not at least equal in number, did the State and HUD approve the reduction? If no, explain:

## **Permanent Relocation:**

		1.	Describe activities that caused displacement and compare relocation activities to relocation plan developed prior to action causing displacement.
Y	N	2.	Were any tenants permanently relocated because of demolition or conversion of a dwelling unit occupied by a TIG household? If yes, please complete form PR-91.
Y	N	3.	Did the demolition or conversion of a TIG dwelling unit result in the displacement of TIG families? If yes, give detail:
		4.	How many families were permanently relocated?
	(Con	ıplete	form at the end of this document for each family permanently relocated.)
Y	N	5.	Did each displaced household receive the proper relocation notices, assistance and benefits? If not, explain:
Y	N	6.	Is there a separate case file for each family/household permanently relocated? If not, explain:
Y	N	7.	Is there a permanent relocation file at the State CDBG program office? If no, explain:
Y	N	8.	Was a Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Report filed for each year that permanent relocation occurred?

## Please fill out this form for EACH Temporary Relocation Claim For Tenants.

s:	
mpora	rily relocated:
addres	s, if known:
returr	to property:
elocati	on benefits: \$
1.	Were they informed of their temporary relocation benefits as listed below in #3?
2.	If they received benefits, what was the source of the funds? (e.g., General Administration.)
3.	Did the tenant receive the following benefits:  Transportation of persons and property up to 50 miles, unless relocation beyond 50 miles is justified; packing, crating, unpacking, and uncrating of personal property; disconnection, dismantling, removing, reassembling, and reinstalling relocated household appliances and other personal property; storage of personal property, generally not to exceed 12 months, unless the CDBG grantee determines that a longer period is necessary; purchase of insurance for the replacement value of personal property in connection with the move and storage; replacement value of property lost, stolen, or damaged in moving where insurance covering such loss, theft or damage is not reasonably available and when the grantee is at fault for the lost, stolen or damaged property; cost differential between rent of existing unit and temporary unit if any; reasonable and necessary costs of security deposits required to rent the replacement dwelling; and any costs of credit checks required to rent or purchase the replacement dwelling. other costs related to the move;
	mpora addres return elocation

1	11	4.	were the tenants given a 90-day notice to move?
Y	N	5.	Were they given a 30-day notice to move?
Y	N	6.	How many days were they <b>temporarily</b> relocated?
Y	N	7.	Did they elect to move in with family or friends?
Y	N	8.	Did they sign a waiver explaining that they knew of their relocation benefits and that they still wanted to move in with family or friends?
Y	N	9.	Was the person relocated to a comparable DS&S unit? If the unit was not a comparable DS&S unit, please explain.
Y	N	10.	Did they return to the property? If no, where did they move to?
Y	N	11.	Was it their choice not to return?
Y	N	12.	Were there any appeals of benefits?
Y	N	13.	Are all appeals now resolved?
Y	N	14.	Did the family elect to use relocation benefits to purchase a home? If yes, were funds paid into an escrow account? Y N Explain:

## Please fill out this form for EACH Permanent Relocation Claim

Nam	ne:		
Tota	1 # o	f disp	lacees on this claim:
Add	ress:		
Apt.	#:		
			ntly relocated:
Tota	l relo	ocatio	n benefits: \$
Y	N	1.	Were they informed of their permanent relocation benefits as listed below:
			transportation of persons and property up to 50 miles, unless relocation beyond 50 miles is justified;
			packing, crating, unpacking, and uncrating of personal property; disconnection, dismantling, removing, reassembling, and reinstalling
			relocated household appliances and other personal property; storage of personal property, generally not to exceed 12 months, unless the CDBG grantee determines that a longer period is necessary;
			purchase of insurance for the replacement value of personal property in connection with the move and storage;
			replacement value of property lost, stolen, or damaged in moving where insurance covering such loss, theft or damage is not reasonably available and when the grantee is at fault for the lost, stolen or
			damaged property; other costs related to the move
			reasonable and necessary costs of security deposits required to rent the replacement dwelling; and
			any costs of credit checks required to rent or purchase the replacement dwelling.
		2	If they received permanent relocation benefits, where did the funds come from? (e.g., loan, general administrative)
Y	N	3	Was a "Notice of Intent to Displace" sent (by certified mail or hand delivered) to

all occupants of property to be purchase?

Y	N	4.	Was a Relocation Needs Survey done for each person to be displaced?
Y	N	5.	Was a 90-day and 30-day notice to vacate property sent (by certified mail or hand delivered) to each occupant?
Y	N	6.	Is a Household Case Record being maintained on each person being displaced?
Y	N	7.	Was safe, decent sanitary housing which is functionally equivalent and substantially the same as the acquired unit, found for each household being relocated?
Y	N	8.	a. How many comparables were provided to the family?  Is there evidence of referrals to replacement housing and a record of inspection of replacement and referral units in each file?
Y	N	9.	Did the grantee determine that "Last Resort Housing" was necessary?
Y	N	10.	Was a Replacement Housing Plan developed which includes all of the information found in the Relocation Chapter of the Grants Management Manual?
Y	N	11.	Were displacees provided with a maximum choice of replacement housing and provided equivalent benefits without regard to the race, color, religion, national origin, or sex of the displacee?
Y	N	12.	Was spatial deconcentration of minorities furthered?

Y	N	13.	Is there evidence of receipt and payment of claims?
Y	N	14.	Were they relocated with Barney Frank (Section 104(d)) Regulations or URA?
Y	N	15.	Was the payment of claims made 18 months after the date the displacee received final payment on the property or after they moved, whichever was later?
Y	N	16.	Is there a letter of Acknowledgment for Services and Payments Rendered in the file?
Y	N	17.	Did the family elect to use relocation benefits to purchase a home? If yes, were funds paid into an escrow account? Y N Explain:
Y	N	18.	Were there any appeals of benefits?
Y	N	19.	Are all appeals now resolved?
Y	N	20.	Were there any controversial or complicated claims? If yes, conduct an on-site visit with the person(s) displaced. Review with displacee person all documentation to assure that relocation procedures were followed.
Y	N	21.	Was displaced person given proper relocation guidance?

## Department of Housing and Community Development Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

#### **GRANTEE MONITORING:**

## 13. Relocation Checklist for Economic Development Programs and Projects

Gra	antee:	•	Contract #:
CD	DBG F	Rep:	Date(s):
<u>Na</u>	ame (	of Sp	ecific Project/Program:
<u>Ar</u>	nti-d	ispla	cement and Relocation Assistance Plan:
Y	N	1.	Was an appropriate Anti-displacement and Relocation Assistance Plan required because of the possibility of displacement due to the CDBG funds activities?
Y	N	2.	If a Business Anti-displacement and Relocation Assistance Plan was developed was it submitted to the department?
			Date submitted/approved by the Department.
			Same as in the grantee's file?
<u>Su</u>	ımm	ary o	of Displacement or Relocation Activities:
		1.	What CDBG funded activities caused the displacement?
Y	N	2.	Fill in the number of projects which involved relocation or displacement of:  1) Large Businesses;  2) Small Businesses;  3) Non profits;  4) Farms  (Place a number in each blank for number of instances of relocation.)

(He	ereatt	er, Di	splaced person(s) refers to Business, non-profit, or farm operations.)
		3.	How many persons were displaced?
		4.	What is the total cost for all relocation activities? \$
Y	N	5.	Were there any controversial or complicated claims? If yes, was an on-site visit conducted with the person(s) displaced.
Y	N	6.	Was Advisory Services offered to the owner of each business?
Y	N	7.	Were all business notified of their relocation rights within the appropriate time required by the Uniform Relocation Act?
<u>Sp</u>	<u>ecific</u>	Dis	placement/Relocation Activities:
Y	N	1.	Are there any unresolved permanent relocation claims? If yes, please describe.
		2.	How many persons received permanent relocation benefits?
Y	N	3.	Were the relocation benefits properly calculated? Check claim forms for accuracy and bids for moving costs.

Y	N	4.	Did all persons receive Relocation Advisory Assistance? If no, explain:
Y	N	5.	Is there a separate case file for each business permanently relocated? If not, explain:
Y	N	6.	Is there a permanent relocation plan on file with the Department? If no, explain:
Y	N	7.	Was Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Report filed for each year that permanent relocation occurred?
Y	N	8.	Was a "Notice of Intent to Displace" sent (by certified mail or hand delivered) to all occupants of property to be purchase?
Y	N	9.	Was a Relocation Needs Survey done for each displaced person?
Y	N	10.	Was a 90-day and 30-day notice to vacate property sent (by certified mail or hand delivered) to each occupant?
Y	N	11.	Is a Business Case Record being maintained on each person being displaced?

Y	N	12.	Is there evidence of receipt and payment of claims?
Y	N	13.	Was a Comprehensive List of tenants completed for the project?
Y	N	14.	Did any business occupants receive relocation assistance? If so, how many?
Y	N	15.	Was each person contacted to determine relocation need prior to starting the project?
Y	N	16.	Was a Relocation Payment Plan established and discussed with each person?
Y	N	17.	Was a Site Survey conducted to determine or estimate the number, type and size business?
Y	N	18.	Was the Appeal Rights explained to the person? If notification not in writing, how were they notified of their rights?

#### Reestablishment Expenses Payments:

(For Small Business only, which is defined as any business, nonprofit organization or farm that has at least one individual (employee of business owner) and less than 500 persons on site.

Y N 1. Did the business accept reestablishment payments in addition to Actual moving and Related Expenses Payments?

**Fixed Payment (A displaced Private business** is eligible to choose a fixed payment An alternative to the Actual Reasonable Moving and Related Expenses Payments

Y N 2. Did the business accept Fixed Payments or the Actual moving and Related Expenses Payments? If the business accepted the Actual moving and Related Expenses Payments complete **Permanent Relocation Claim.** 

#### Appeals:

Y N 1. Were there any appeals of benefits? If yes, describe:

Y N 2. Are all appeals now resolved? If yes, describe:

## Please fill out this form for EACH Relocation Claim

Name:	
Address:	
Date permane	ently relocated:
Total relocati	on benefits: \$
Y N 1.	Did the business receive all its permanent relocation benefits as listed below:
	Transportation of persons and property up to 50 miles, unless relocation beyond 50 miles is justified; Packing, crating, unpacking, and uncrating of personal property; Disconnection, dismantling, removing, reassembling, and reinstalling relocated household appliances and other personal property; Storage of personal property, generally not to exceed 12 months, unless the CDBG grantee determines that a longer period is necessary; Purchase of insurance for the replacement value of personal property in connection with the move and storage; Any license, permit, or certification required of the business owner at the replacement location; Replacement value of property lost, stolen, or damaged in moving where insurance covering such loss, theft or damage is not reasonably available and when the grantee is at fault for the lost, stolen or damaged property; Re-letting signs and replacing stationery on hand at the time of displacement that are made obsolete as a result of the move; Professional Services necessary: planning the move of the personal property, moving the personal property, and installing the relocated personal property at the replacement location; Actual direct loss of tangible personal property incurred as a result of moving or discontinuing the business, nonprofit organization or farm operation; Reasonable cost incurred in attempting to sell an item that is not to be relocated; Purchase of substitute personal property; Searching for replacement location; Reestablishment expenses payment; Other costs related to the move.

2. If they received permanent relocation benefits, where did the funds come from? (e.g., loan, general administrative)

Department of Housing and Community Development

#### Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

## **GRANTEE MONITORING:** 14. Lead-Based Paint

Grantee: Contract #:\_\_\_\_

CDBG Rep: \_\_\_\_\_ Date(s):\_\_\_\_\_

\* \* BEGINNING WITH 2001 CONTRACTS \* \*

Interview Questions		
1. How are recipients informed of lead-based paint dangers? (Classes Protect your family from lead in your home" pamphlet, other.)	, EPA/HU	D's
2. Are LBP costs paid in accordance with the housing rehabilitation gloans, combination)? Explain:	guidelines (	(grants,
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
3. If no LBP work was performed on any rehabilitation OR acquisition homes, select two files at random and answer the following two questions:		
	File #1:	File #2:
ne LBP for this file. Provide proof of age. Use certificate of insurance,	Y N	Y N
	ΥN	Y N
rules should have been followed. A visual assessment and paint stabilization		
	<ol> <li>How are recipients informed of lead-based paint dangers? (Classes Protect your family from lead in your home" pamphlet, other.)</li> <li>Are LBP costs paid in accordance with the housing rehabilitation gloans, combination)? Explain:</li> <li>If LBP mitigation work was done on any of the files, proceed to questiappropriate. If no LBP mitigation work was done on any of the files, question #3.</li> </ol>	1. How are recipients informed of lead-based paint dangers? (Classes, EPA/HU Protect your family from lead in your home" pamphlet, other.)  2. Are LBP costs paid in accordance with the housing rehabilitation guidelines (loans, combination)? Explain:  If LBP mitigation work was done on any of the files, proceed to question #4 or #appropriate. If no LBP mitigation work was done on any of the files, proceed to question #3.  BP work was performed on any rehabilitation OR acquisition homes, select two files at yer the following two questions:  BP work was performed on any rehabilitation OR acquisition homes, select two files at yer the following two questions:  BP work was performed on any rehabilitation OR acquisition homes, select two files at yer the following two questions:  BP work was performed on any rehabilitation OR acquisition homes, select two files at yer the following two questions:  File #1:  BP work was performed on any rehabilitation OR acquisition homes, select two files at yer the following two questions:  File #1:  Y N  The provide proof of age. Use certificate of insurance, gen off, or occupancy date. If no, go on to Question B below.  The home meet any of the exemptions listed in Chapter 20? List exemption:  Y N  The provide proof of the proof

# **4. FOR LBP WORK IN HOUSING REHABILITATION:** If LBP work was performed as part of the rehabilitation, pick one of these files and answer the following questions:

Y N	A) Does the file contain proof of LBP notification, i.e., signed receipt of the pamphlet,
*** ***	"Protect your family from lead in your home?"
YN	B) FOR RENTALS: Does the file contain proof of receipt of the "Renter's Lead-Based Paint Disclosure?" (See back of LBP chapter)
YN	C) 1) If presuming LBP in a home, does the file contain a presumption report? (May use DHS form 8552, <i>Lead Hazards Evaluation Report</i> )
YN	2) Was this notice posted at the site as required?
Y N	D) 1) If using the <b>optional LEAD HAZARD SCREEN</b> , does the file contain proof of the risk assessor's DHS certification? *
Y N	2) Is the LHS in file, using DHS form 8552?
YN	3) Was this notice given to the occupants and posted at the site as required?
	If the results of the Lead Hazard Screen was negative, then <u>STOP</u> , you are done with this file. If the results are positive, then a risk assessment is required. Continue to E).
ΥN	E) 1) Does the file contain proof of the risk assessor's DHS certification? *
YN	2) Is the risk assessment in file, using DHS form 8552 (Lead Hazards Evaluation Report)?
Y N	3) Was this notice given to the occupants and posted at the site as required?
\$	F) What was the level of Federal Rehabilitation Assistance? Was it calculated using only hard costs, (including contingencies)? FYI: Rehabilitation "soft costs" such as title, appraisals, LBP mitigation costs, etc. should <b>not</b> be included.
ΥN	G) 1) Does the file contain DHS form 8551, Abatement of Lead Hazards Notification?
YN	2) Was this notice posted at the site as required? (This is a Concern, not a Finding)
YN	H) 1) Does the file contain proof of the DHS certifications/training confirmation for each supervisor and worker on site?* If hard costs are under \$25,000, only work safe
Y N	training required. DHS training confirmation suitable substitute.
	2) If sweat equity was performed, does the file contain copies of the (HUD approved) One Day Work Safe course completion for the homeowners?
L	

YN	A) Does the file contain the "Seller's Lead-Based Paint Disclosure" notice which the seller provides to the buyer prior to making the offer? This disclosure addresses any known existing LBP and/or LBP hazards in the house and contains past LBP mitigation actions. It will also state that the purchaser has 10 days to perform a LBP inspection, or that the purchaser has waived that right.			
YN	B) Does the file contain proof of LBP notification, e.g., signed receipt of the pamphlet, "How to Protect Your Family From Lead?"			
YN	C) Does the file contain a copy of the visual assessment? Use DHS form 8552, Lead Hazards Evaluation Report. If report is negative for deteriorated paint, STOP, you are done with this file. If the report is positive for deteriorated paint, go to D).			
YN	D) 1) For paint stabilization, is there proof of the DHS certifications for the supervisor?*  2) Does the file contain documentation of HUD approved, work safe training for each worker, or in the case of sweat equity, the homeowner? DHS confirmation of worker training is a suitable substitute.*			
YN	E) 1) Does the file contain proof of the clearance risk assessor's DHS certification? *			
YN	2) Is the clearance report in file, using DHS form 8552?			
Y N	3) Was this notice given to the occupants and posted at the site as required?			
	Note: The clearance may be done by the business that did the risk assessment, but it cannot be done by same business entity that performed paint stabilization as it would be a serious conflict of interest).			
	* Use copy of DHS card or check DHS website by telephone prefix: www.dhs.ca.gov/childlead/html/B40.html.			
	he California State Licensing Board (CSLB) web page as the CSLB does not keep these records.			
YN	I) 1) Does the file contain proof of the clearance risk assessor's DHS certification? *			
YN	2) Is the clearance report in file, using DHS form 8552? If abatement is done,			
N/ NI	ALSO need DHS form 8551, Abatement of Lead Hazards Notification			
Y N	3) Was this notice given to the occupants and posted at the site as required?			
	Note: The clearance may be done by the business that did the risk assessment, but it cannot be done by same business entity that performed mitigation as it would be a			

<sup>\*</sup> Use copy of DHS card or check DHS website by telephone prefix: <a href="www.dhs.ca.gov/childlead/html/B40.html">www.dhs.ca.gov/childlead/html/B40.html</a>. Cannot use the California State Licensing Board (CSLB) web page as the CSLB does not keep these records.

<u>5. FOR LBP WORK IN HOUSING ACQUISTION:</u> If LBP work was performed as part of the acquisition, pick one of these files and answer the following questions.

NOTE: If rehabilitation is involved, other than paint stabilization, complete the Housing Rehabilitation monitoring checklist above.

serious conflict of interest.